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Abstract

Nagaland is a hill state in the north-eastern end of India and the homeland of several traditionally distinctive communities who have been collectively identified as the Nagas – a colonial exonym labelled for them since the British Rule. The colonial administration and the Christian missionaries played crucial roles in shaping the present political and cultural fate of the people of this region. While the British administration, particularly its classification of the people of this region and their cartographic segmentation were responsible for complex problems in later times pertaining to Naga identity, the process of Christianization with the aegis of the missionaries created a visible difference between the mythicized pre-Christian past and the present-day life overtly dominated by the Christian religiosity. Since the year 2000, a spectacular cultural festival under the name of Hornbill festival began to be organized by the State Government of Nagaland in the month of December every year, with an aim to foster cultural unity among the various constituent groups at home and to showcase their rich cultural resources to the rest of the world.

This paper aims to dwell on the political, cultural as well as economic aspects of this increasingly popular cultural extravaganza in Nagaland. It is argued here that Hornbill festival can be seen as the equilibrium of the diverse interests of its various stakeholders – the local ethnic groups, the Government and the sponsoring private agencies. While on the economic front it makes the biggest tourism event in Nagaland, on the political front it provides the much-needed space to demonstrate the unified Naga identity.
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Introduction

In its tourism literature, Nagaland has branded itself as the “land of festivals”. No matter what the season is there is always a festival around the corner, thanks to the co-existence of several Naga groups, each having their own distinctive cultural repertoire, in this hilly state of North-east India. Hornbill festival is a cultural extravaganza, a celebration of all tribes in one venue and it has been coined as “festival of festivals”. Each tribe has its own way of maintaining its distinctive cultural traditions and customs, through various forms of performing
arts, material culture and food which is an integral part of the festival. The Government of Nagaland, along with the Directorate of Tourism and the Department of Art and Culture took the initiative of starting the Hornbill festival in 2000 with the aim to identify and conserve the Naga heritage and also to promote tourism and highlight the socio-cultural panorama of Nagaland.

However, behind this valorised ethnic spectacle of present times, there is a peculiar history of cultural loss and colonial framing of the Nagas that the state went through during the British rule. The wave of the Christian mission has left a scene of devastation among the traditional local cultures of the Nagas who have been moulded by them. The Nagas who once had their own strong animistic form of religion were converted to evangelical Baptism. With the Missionaries working eagerly to educate the Nagas and to bring them up to the academic civilization, many old cultures were almost abandoned or changed drastically. In the process, old habits and cultures which were considered as impediment to the progress of men were discouraged and given up. ‘The entry of the Nagas into the written history of the world can be dated to 24th February 1826 when the Treaty of Yandabo was signed’ (Oppitz et al 2008: 11). The Nagas were an autonomous group of people with their own internal sense of belonging to a group. Every group had their own system of doing things. Little is known about the pre-colonial history of the Naga groups. But, When the British administration entered the Naga territory, the Nagas had been banded together as tribes. They classified the groups and labelled them with different tribe names. Though this began over 150 years ago their effects have remained unabated to this day, affecting all the Nagas. Regardless of their local origins and their specific and collective qualities, it ultimately demands a total redefinition on every dimension: as individuals, as descent groups, as village communities, as tribes, as a prospective unity of all Nagas (Oppitz et al 2008: 9).

The Hornbill festival is named after the bird Hornbill. The majestic bird is admired by the Nagas and the admiration for the bird is symbolically displayed in headgears and ornaments used by the Nagas and worn especially during the festivals. Over the years the festival has become a unique platform for tourist to witness the cultural diversity of Nagaland. For an outsider Hornbill festival is a closer understanding of the people, land and culture of Nagaland. It is also an opportunity to experience the food, drinks and the tradition of Nagaland. Hornbill festival was created to re-assert the Naga identity: which is having a feeling of cultural displacement, brought about by rapid structural change, social mobility and globalization process. There are 17 tribes and 1400 villages in Nagaland. Tribal troupes from every tribe are given opportunity to perform. A tribal troupe who has already performed once, its turn to perform again in the Hornbill festival will come only after a period of more or less than 100 years.
Hornbill festival is organised every year from 1st – 7th December. It is held in the Naga Heritage Village at Kisama which is about 12 kilometres from Kohima town. The word Kisama is derived from two villages namely, Kigwema (KI) and Phesama (SA) and MA which means Village. The Naga Heritage Village is established and commissioned by the State Government of Nagaland. Within the Naga Heritage Village complex there is a main arena, a Bamboo heritage hall, Bamboo Pavilion, Horti-scape, an Amphitheatre, Bamboo craft centre, Food court and stalls etc. It also consists of 17 houses of each tribe created with typical architectural designs. These tribal houses are called Morung. The heritage complex also houses World War II Museum. The observance and participation in festivals is a significant experience for a contemporary tourist experience. Hornbill festival may be extremely popular for contemporary tourists but it is traditionally popular with the locals. As cited in the official guide book of Hornbill festival the aim of the festival is to ‘experience first-hand both sides of the coin- the rich cultural and vibrant heritage of the Nagas and their disposition in cultural pride arrangement in the face of modernity at the other end of the spectrum. All in all, the Hornbill festival: Festival of Festivals is the coming together of synergistic elements that make up Nagaland’ (Hornbill Festival Guide Book, 2012).

Hornbill festival is said to be a means to renew connections among the communities and also create networks beyond Nagaland. It is noteworthy to study this festival as a kind of balance or equilibrium amongst the different interests of its various stakeholders: the state and distinctive local groups. Certain goals were kept in mind before the formation of Hornbill festival. It seems like a politically correct move by the government as an aspect of negotiation between the Indian nationalism and Naga identity. A final stage that Peacock and Rizzo discuss in The Heritage Game is that the collective interest in the conservation of the past is associated with the growth in nationalism and the preservation of national identity. ‘Heritage was once the preserve of the few, now it has become the interest of the many with the growth in domestic and international tourism, and the willingness of the tourist to pay for access to such heritage place festivals and the like’ (Peacock and Ilde 2008:8). ‘Heritage often serves as an anchor that provides stability and secures identity in times of great social unease and confusion.’ (Green and Philip 2007: 70). Hornbill festival serves as a platform to show why peace is needed for development besides from an economic perspective and tourism sustainability. As Green has argued that, forms of nostalgia and the representations of the past that they engender are influenced by current political and economic agendas. People in positions of cultural authority, such as those who work for the government or the tourism industry, wish to articulate a past that can both instil a sense of national pride and serve as the basis of a viable cultural tourism industry (ibid: 64).
Highlights of the festival

In this week-long celebration there is a medley of events like cultural performances, indigenous games, craft bazaar, musical events, fashion shows, cycling rally and motor sporting events, kids’ carnival, competition and exhibition-cum-sale of flowers, fruits, vegetables, handlooms and handicrafts, North East Cultural Day, Hornbill half marathon, North East Riders Meet (NERM), Hornbill Glocal film festival, Hornbill Art festival, Hornbill International Photo Contest, Rock and Jazz Concert, Guitar Playing Contest, fashion night, national rock contest, beauty contest, food festival and a series of competitions in various activities. Since, all these events cannot be performed and carried out in Naga Heritage Village alone many other venues are selected to hold the activities of Hornbill festival. Such other venues are; IG Stadium Kohima, Hotel VivorKohima, The Heritage Kohima, State Academy Hall Kohima, Dream cafe Kohima, Lifepro cafe Kohima, NER Agri Expo Complex Dimapur.

Another highlight of the festival is the Night Bazaar which is also called as ‘Kohima Night Carnival’ organized by the Kohima Chambers of Commerce & Industries (KCCI). While in normal days the shops are closed by 6:00p.m and the streets of the market bear a deserted look, at the time of Hornbill festival the streets of Kohima town comes alive. People throng to Night Bazaar for shopping and merrymaking which opens from 4:00pm till 8:00p.m. The whole stretch of street from Phool Bari (Y-Junction) to Razhü Point are turned into a “Pedestrian only” street with road side food stalls selling ethnic and global food items, street entertainments etc for both locals and tourist alike.

Hornbill festival and the Reconstructed Naga Identity

Hornbill festival provides an opportunity to promote and encourage inter-participation of all the tribes and it brings together the festival of all the tribes of Nagaland under one umbrella. It can create new partnerships and it opens the way for the Nagas to put together its unique heritage. Hornbill festival must have started as a political strategy or as a way to cope with or respond to change. Hornbill festival is a mode through which the Nagas can define their identity through their culture. The State Government is also taking up various initiatives to work on the issue of Identity through this annual festival. In December 2012, while hosting the Hornbill festival, the Government of Nagaland jointly with the Ministry of External Affairs organized an Indo-Myanmar workshop with an objective to bridge ties between Nagaland and Myanmar in the backdrop of India’s Look East Policy (LEP). Delegates from Myanmar attended the discussions on business relations and cultural exchange. ‘In the context of rapid political change and national identity crisis, festival becomes a platform to test new formulations and symbolic expressions of the
What kind of identity is displayed of the Nagas by hosting an annual festival like the Hornbill festival? While the Hornbill festival is constructing a national culture and identity for the Nagas by showcasing their traditions, it is also displaying the modernized Nagas through rock and jazz music, fashion show, beauty contest and so on. Cultural heritage and contemporary cultural diversity like non-indigenous cultures of Nagaland such as rock contest, selling of foreign goods, fashion night, beauty contest etc as displayed in Hornbill festival are gaining more popularity and they represent as an important image and appear to contribute to a sense of Naga identity.

‘The identity that the Hornbill festival presents is a fusion of culture but only those elements that complement with Christian view of morality and religion, and the youth culture of the new generation. Everything that does not correspond to the current-politically correct-idea of cultural identity is left out. Non-Christian rituals are reduced to a farce; and if a ritual actually is performed, then only after a loud speaker announcement has stated that it is a practice from the past.’ (Oppitz et al 2008: 71). And yet, the festival makes the Nagas to view themselves in the perspective of their own history and draw on both the new and the old for their own identity (ibid: 74). An elderly man present at the festival said, “We will go to heaven but we must also carry on with our tradition because it is our identity.”

Longkumer in his article ‘Who sings for the Hornbill? The performance of politics of culture in Nagaland, Northeast India-Part II’ opines that the constructed and dynamic nature of Naga identity is played out interestingly in the Hornbill festival. For some it allows a visual glimpse of other tribes, while for others it’s an opportunity to be included into the Naga fold. Having a Morung in the Hornbill is helpful and legitimises their claim to be ‘indigenous’ inhabitants of Nagaland – for them territorial indigeneity is the sole marker of Naga identity, not blood, language or customary practices. Hornbill festival is playing a significant role in the notion of identity as the Government of Nagaland tries to derive political advantage from the event and strengthen its own position. According to Stockhausen,

The Nagas have a joint history, one they have all gone through in the same way since the colonial era at latest and more recently since Indian independence. Ultimately this joint search for identity is above all the necessary demand to be heard within the global field of indigenous strivings to achieve recognition and political self-determination (Oppitz et at 2008:76)

Today, social media and advertisements have both redefined the way we connect and reshape our identity. Hornbill festival is a way to bring awareness about preserving the heritage of the region while displaying the Naga identity to the outsiders. “Festivals, whether as ‘traditional’ moments of social celebration or as constructed and highly orchestrated events, have been absorbed into the expansive stock of ‘products’ that tourist desire” (Picard and Mike Robinson
What has emerged from a local heritage event has turned into a national and international festival, attracting both the domestic and foreign tourists. Hornbill festival form arena for cultural creativity. It is, packaging of unique cultural diversity in a creative way. Though the festival is very much a local endeavour with government, public and village participation, it seems like the larger part of the festival’s concern is to draw attention from beyond the national boundaries. Using the colourful medium of culture attracts the outside audiences or tourists. Talking about tourist, it is important to identify what are the expectations of a tourist? The tourists seek authenticity and so the Hornbill festival exposes the Naga tradition alongside the modern face as an ethnic Naga in the global world system. Authenticity is what drives tourists to the Hornbill festival. When some tourists were asked why and what made them to come and attend the Hornbill festival, their reply was that it is because they wanted to witness the authenticity of the Naga culture which they thought was “still” authentic. However, what is intended to be argued here is that this ‘authenticity’ is more a performed and enacted kind of phenomenon; with a definite set of interconnections amongst the factors like present/past, modernity/tradition, urban/rural, mainstream/tribal and familiar/exotic. But isn’t presenting a multifaceted and diverse Naga culture of both tradition and modernity suppose to lose its authenticity? But again Longkumer argues that the idea of ‘tradition’ and ‘modernity’ are part and parcel of the surroundings and there is no denying that the two often go hand in hand when discussing the politics of ‘culture’. This particular dimension has become significant in the past few years and the future of the Hornbill signals the happy co-mingling of both the local and the global. “Nevertheless, the argument of ‘inauthenticity’ and commodification, although very intriguing, does not take into account the potential that the revival, re-construction or even the invention of festivals holds in terms of replenishing traditions, re-negotiating communal identity and strengthening group solidarity” (cited in Picard and Mike Robinson 2006:41).

**Hornbill festival and the commodification of culture**

The increasing influence of the Tourism industry has made immense impact on authenticity of cultures. According to Cohen (1988), tourism as an economic activity has been blamed for the commodification of cultures. Objects and performances that were once created for local consumption become geared towards the tourism market and consequently are said to be exploited, debased and trivialised (cited in Smith and Mike Robinson 2006: 177). Culture and Heritage is not only a marker of identity but it is also open and projected for global consumption in ways that it has been packaged and commodified. In a non-industrialized place like Nagaland, culture plays a vital role. It is packaged in the form of heritage and the tourists are aggressively lured for consuming such commodity. Hornbill festival has developed into such cultural industry. Having said that Hornbill festival is a politically correct move by the
government, it seems like the government is hoping to make the festival market on a global scale by drawing in sponsors, promoters and various stakeholders who are all involve with multiple interests.

‘The core of the tourism industry is the commodification of escapism, the commercial answer to the longing of mankind for another reality beyond the dull and grey of the everyday life’ (Smith and Mike Robinson 2006: 191). Nothing comes for free and so is culture. Hornbill festival seems like a corporate packaging of traditional culture. However, the process of commodifying the past for touristic consumption can be (dis)inventing tradition. Giving a brand name to any commodity for the market is essential and for that reason the government gives brand names such as “Nagaland Land of Festivals” or to Hornbill festival as “Festival of Festivals”. These slogans could be one of the reasons that attract the tourists and it facilitates the Hornbill festival to grow in a global scale and it becomes an identity of the Hornbill festival in the cultural industry. However, while commodifying and commercializing the festival, is the Government of Nagaland making the Hornbill festival into a commercial ‘state’ festival and selling Nagaland to the outside world? Keeping in mind the expectations of the tourists the festival is packed accordingly since the success of the festival is because of the tourists who come as ‘cultural tourists.’ Though, there are chances that excessive commercialization can also create a more materialistic populace. Perhaps ethnic precincts and ethnic heritage tourism need to be grounded within the local ethnic community so that the resulting tourist experience is authentic and credible to both locals and visitors. Hornbill festival also commodifies culture through souvenirs. ‘Souvenirs are the most easily available commodity of culture and heritage. Souvenirs as well as experiences are conceived as being authentic when they reflect the perceived core values of the visited destination’ (Smith and Mike Robinson 2006: 191). After a visit to the Hornbill festival one will not leave without buying a souvenir. There are varieties of souvenirs ranging from low price to high price such as, Nagaland Land of Festivals stickers, Hornbill Festival of Festivals badges, local arts and crafts, textiles et cetera. Whilst commodifying the past for the future developments the perceptions of authenticity may change which will have an effect on the local community themselves.

Every year a new theme, game or contest is added to give the festival a new flavour. Themes are made in order to classify the different activities and since there are many activities happening at different places, it helps the tourists in shaping their itineraries. Using a theme can also provide several interpretations of the same space at the same time attracting the tourists. For instance, the Department of Art and Culture presents the cultural extravaganza under the theme “Unity” where altogether 17 troupes from Nagaland shall present a glimpse into the rich cultural songs, dances and traditions of the tribes of
Nagaland. Apart from the cultural shows there are other programmes like presenting collection of Naga traditional motifs and designs on contemporary wear under the theme of “Celebrating Naga Textile Art & Design” or Glocal Film Fest with the theme of “Global Local Meet”, Photo contest under the theme “Colours of Nagaland” etc. Besides all these the tourist can also participate in indigenous games and sports like Greased Bamboo Pole Climbing, Naga Wrestling, and Naga King Chilli Eating Contest and so on.

Conclusion

Hornbill Festival no doubt is an event created by the Government to showcase the Naga cultural heritage. While the festival has a good feel for traditions, it has also come to hang on with the dynamics and apparent of the contemporary activities. It is orchestrated and enacts factors like past with present, modern and tradition etc. Hornbill festival seems more organised and less spontaneous, highly choreographed and managed which gives the visitors the impression that it is more of a show. Authenticity is staged though the tourists as well as the locals don’t seem to mind it. However the question remains is, for whom the Hornbill Festival is really for? Is it staged and performed for the few elites with reserved seats who may or may not have an upper hand in organising this orchestrated celebration? When the main aim of the festival is to bring all the tribes of Nagaland under one umbrella, why create a new umbrella. Perhaps the festival needs to reflect more on the theme of the festival “unity”, which provides the much-needed space to demonstrate the unified Naga and re-enactment of shared Naga identity.

The government of Nagaland is planning to turn the Hornbill Festival into a commercial hub with the aim of recreating a ‘Mini Nagaland’. A permanent shopping arcade to sell and promote indigenous produce with an adjacent food court, complete with all traditional delicacies. The association of various media partners, sponsors, event partners’ etc makes the festival a commercial event along with their own advertisement while associating with the festival. Economic forces may be one of the reasons that push such contemporary festivals for commercialization. It seems the Government have turned to advertisers as a revenue source. The authenticity of festivals is regarded not only as a cultural value, but also as an economic generating opportunity. Thus, Hornbill festival is a festival of coming together of all the elements that make up Nagaland, displaying its unique identity [which is contested and constructed]. The festival also gives a glimpse into the cultural diversity of the entire Northeast as the participants from the neighbouring states like Assam, Manipur, Mizoram, Meghalaya, Tripura and Arunachal performs and showcase their culture. In the recent years the festival has also gone truly global with dance troupes from Korea, Myanmar and Thailand showcasing their cultures.
and this provides a platform for the Nagas in promoting cultural exchange, whilst at the same time it is a great boost for the tourism industry.

In conclusion, it can be said that the Hornbill festival is a negotiated balance between the local and the national/global, between imagined past and enforced modernity. For the state, this carries the message that peace is essential for development; and for the Naga groups, this provides the platform to stage their revived identities. At a critical level, it also demonstrates the duality of heritage – that heritage is simultaneously political as well as economic in terms of the functions it renders. If Hornbill festival is a sacred stage for enacting identities for the Nagas, it is also a market of cultural commodities for the others.
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A comparative study on Mahayana Sutra of the World Father (lokapitru) and the Christian Gospels’ Universal Father

Bhikkhu-Dhammakiththi

Abstract

The concept of a “universal father” is mainly associated with religions that are faith-based and believe in a creator God. Creation and existence is believed by the followers to be at the pleasure of this God. Gospels clearly show that the Jesus, the son of God, from time to time addressed a powerful creator in heaven, who is God, the Father. Jesus called upon the grace of this God on behalf of his followers. The son of God or Jesus is the intermediary or link between the believers and God, the Father. Both in the Holy Bible and in Holy Quran this all-powerful creator God is considered the father of all human beings. Therefore, it is clear that the concept of a „universal father“ is directly associated with religions based on a creator God.

The same concept is also met within the context of Brahman and ātman in Indian religious philosophies as well. The creation of the universe is by the Mahā Brahma. Brahma alone is the creator while other beings have only a seed of him in the form of „Paramātman (supreme self).”

However, nowhere in fundamental Buddhist writing is there a reference to the concepts of a creator God, an everlasting soul or a „universal father.” It values the independence and individuality of the being. Accordingly the beginning of a „bhava“ and its conclusion occurs according to the deeds of the being itself explained under cause and effect theory. But, in later writings in the Mahayana school there are some references to the Buddha as a creator and even as a universal father. Yet, these references are still different from the „universal father, concept seen in the Holy Bible. With the development of Bodhisattvas in Mahayana Buddhism, the role of a „universal father“ was given to some Bodhisattvas. Bodhisattvas appear to be regarded even as „savior“ gods in a manner similar to the Savior (Jesus) in Christianity.

Although this is not seen everywhere in Mahayana Buddhism here we intend to compare the „universal father" concept in some suttanta"s in Mahayana Buddhism with the same as it is described in the Holy Bible. The idea of the 'universal father' becomes exaggerate with an increased sacredness.

Key words: Universal Father, Mahayana sutra, Gospels, The God
Introduction

In general, the concept of the universal father is found in monotheistic religions. In monotheistic religions, doctrines have been developed based on the faith on the abovementioned concept. In Christianity, it is believed that the creation of Heaven and Earth has been brought about according to the Will of God. The doctrines taught by the Gospels of Christianity are the speech of the Father of Jesus in Heaven. In the Gospels, the reason why Jesus called God in Heaven His Father is for His servants. Jesus connects God and His servants. Like the Holy Scripture, the Koran of Islam says about God, the Father of the world. That is, the concept of the universal father is found in monotheistic religions.

According to the religion and philosophy of India, the concept of the loka pitru has come out of Brahman and atman; the concept of the loka pitru has the meaning of Param Brahman (the best above all things), and the human world begins with Brahman and existence itself is Brahman.

The oldest opinion about the beginning of the universe can be found in vedā samhita. The universe has begun through mahā purusa of Rigveda 10. 90v. The veda 129v nāsadeeya sūtra expresses the beginning of the universe as asat and sat.¹

Theravāda tradition has not explained about the loka pitru or an eternal ego at all. Buddhism says you should get all things from your heart. And it considers that utpāda and nirodha of existence depends upon individual ability. But in Mahayana, the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, explains Buddha as an eternal one of this world and loka pitru. The purpose of this study is to find that the concept is similar to what Christianity is talking.

This study will carry out a comparison study for the loka pitru of the Mahayana Sutras and the universal father of the Gospels of Christianity.

Buddha as the ‘loka pitru’ of the Saddharmapundarīka sūtra

The Sthavirā–nikaya does not mention about the loka pitru or an eternal ego at all. But Mahayana establishes Buddha as the concept of the loka pitru and explains that it is an eternal existence of this world and the loka pitru. This can be confirmed by the following scriptures.

¹ In the beginning there was asat (a thing unrevealed). Indeed from that, sat (a thing revealed) was made.
In this chapter, we are about to mention the part which sets Buddha as the loka pitru based on the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, This sūtra, was made around the birth of Christ and has the meaning of a righteous teaching like a white lotus flower. It was considered the most important one among early Mahayana Sutras.

Buddha is an immortal being and continues to appear to save people. It shows nirvana as a way to redeem sattva but is said to stay here always and preach without being perished. Also Buddha calls himself as ‘aham lokapitā’ (I am the Universal Father).

I am the loka pitru. I realized it by myself. I am a doctor for sattva and a redeemer for all living things. I show nirvana as a way to redeem foolish sattva but do not perish.

Buddha, as the loka pitru, came down to world of human beings to preach. Buddha and sattva are connected by the link of law and this is different from the aspect of early Buddhism.

The part which expresses Buddha as the loka pitru is found through the samsāra mandira of the third Biyupoom and the details is as follows:

Śāriputra, I explain this meaning using analogy, and wise men could understand it. Śāriputra, there was a big old man in a village in a country. He was old and weak but had many properties, fields, houses and servants. His house was very large but had only one gate and one to five hundred persons lived in it. The house was old, the walls were collapsed, columns were rotten and the girder was inclined and risky. One day, flames suddenly shot up from the house and burned it. At that time, the old man's 10, 20 or 30 sons were in it.

The old man escaped from the burning house and thought as follows:

---

2 Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, XXV, Vs.19, p.195 “yameva aham lokapitā svayambhūḥ - cikitsakaḥ sarvaprajāṇa nāthah, diparita mudhāṃś ca viditvā bālān- anivṛto nivṛto uta darsayāmi”

3 Wogihara and Tsuchinda (1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “tad yatāpi nāma śāriputra iha syāt kasmimścit eva grāme vā nagare vā nigame vā....................”

4 Wogihara and Tsuchinda (1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “tac ca sahasā eva mahatā āgniskandhena......”
Although I escaped this burning house safe and sound, my kids have play on the brain and don't know the fire and afraid of it. They shall suffer from great pains of burn but they do not hate nor concern about it and even think about escape.  

And the old man made up his mind as follows:

‘I am strong and will put my kids into my clothes or make them sit on the desk and drag them out of the house.’ Then he thought again that, ‘This house has only one gate and it is too narrow. And if my kids who have play on the brain and cannot figure out this situation fall, they will get burned. So I'll let them this risky and dreadful situation so that they run out of this burning house.

Then, he shouted “get out quickly!” to his sons.

Although a father felt pity and persuaded them earnestly, the kids had play on the brain and did not believe in their father's words. They were neither surprised nor frightened and did not want to get out of the house. Besides, they did not know what fire was and what was house and what was wrong but only romped and looked their father.

The abovementioned analogy can be explained as follows: the house in this story is a transmigration house in which hundreds and tens of thousands of sattva live. The three worlds, like the burning house, have always flames of every kind of trouble. But sattva do not understand nor want to know this situation (na jānanti na pajānanti nōdvijanti nāvabuddhyate). They are preoccupied with desire and hard to get out of it. This is avidyā. Because their asmimāna is left, they do not know fear. Here one who is called a father means Tathāgata who save all sattva from the fire of Kleśā. Buddha appears in the concept of a father.

The 「Transmigration Collection」 states as follows: the three worlds, like a burning house, has endless trouble of every kind. But sattva living in this house do not know it nor want to know it. This begins from an insatiable obsession over desire and is called avidyā. Therefore, the father of the abovementioned

---

5 Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “atha kalu śāriputtra sa purusas tam savakam niveśnam........”
6 Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “api tu ye ime mameva putrā bālakāḥ kumārakā........”
7 Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “iti pratisamkyāya tān kumārakān āmantrayatesma.....”
story means an existence which can save sattva from the sea of agony, which is Buddha and Tathāgata.

In the story, the old man saves his sons using three wagons as an instrument.

This house is already covered by a big fire; my kids might suffer a disaster unless they get out of the house. So I will use an instrument to save them from a disaster.’ The father knew that the kids like every kind of rare and funny toy and said “Here are rare toys with which you want to play. If you don't get them, you will regret. Now, toys including a lamp cart, a deer cart and a cow cart with which you can play are here, so get out of the house quickly. I will give you as many as you want.⁸

Toys reflect a collection of trouble and desire of sattva. Using the toys Buddha induces sattva to approach truth, with an instrument using an insatiable obsession. To foolish sattva who cannot get out of the restrains of transmigration by themselves, Tathāgata is as good as an existence of salvation.

At that time, children were content with the toys to be given by their father and rushed to get out of the burning house with rejoice. The old man was very happy to see all his children escaped the house safe and sound and sat on an intersection safely.⁹

Sattva is foolish, so they are bound by an exhausting chain of life and can get free of it. The old man who was happy to see his children getting out of greed, anger and foolishness shows mercy towards all sattva (sabba bhūta hitānukampī).

Śāriputra, Tathāgata, too, is like this and the loka pitru.¹⁰

Like this, from the look of Buddha who is merciful, diligent and benefits sattva by doing good things all the time, the concept of the loka pitru is drawn. In the above analogy, the old man did not save his children by force. He saved their lives using their obsession. So does Buddha. He saves sattva using three carts, not daśa-bala and catvāri-vaisāradyāni.

Buddha who was a discoverer of truth and man in the early scripture of Buddhism appears as the concept of the loka pitru. This is confirmed as follows:

---

⁸ Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958) Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III,
⁹ Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958) Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III,
¹⁰ Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, “tathāgato ānabala vaisāradyā āvenika buddha dharma samanvāgatah atibalavān loka pitāṃ........"
Śāriputra, wise men among sattva will believe that Tathāgata is the loka pitru.\textsuperscript{11}

Tathāgata mentions the word 'my children' to sattva seeking wisdom and says that they will reach nirvana using a big cart of buddhayāna. Therefore, in the third class of Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, it is interpreted that Buddha preaches the way of vimoka to sattva in the position of the loka pitru.

Besides, in the fifth Chapter of Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, we can see the concept of the loka pitru of Buddha.

Kāśyapa, for example, mountains, streams, valleys, grass and shrubs, trees and herbs in all world are various in name and color. So, rain falling from one cloud grows them and makes flowers bloom according to the type and nature. They appear in the world as if a big cloud rises.\textsuperscript{12}

In this paragraph, a big cloud is Tathāgata, rain is Buddhist sermon and herbs are sattva, implying that Buddha is the loka pitru.

It is also confirmed in the following paragraph that Buddha, a discoverer of the truth and teacher in the early scriptures, is materialized as a redeemer in the era of Mahayana.

I make those who did not get out of transmigration reach nirvana, those who did not know see, those who are not perfect be perfect and those who did not reach nirvana reach nirvana. I indeed know this life and afterlife, and know and see the whole thing.\textsuperscript{13}

Sons of heaven, men and a group of asura, come here and listen to the law.\textsuperscript{14}

Buddha appears as a redeemer, the loka pitru in that he suggests the direction for emperors, men and a group of asura to listen to the law.

Tathāgata is not limited to a being which knows everything but expanded to the concept of a redeemer. The look of a father who spares no effort for his ill child

---

\textsuperscript{11} Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, tatra śāriputra ye sattvā pandhita jānīyā bhavanti. te tathāgatasya loka pitur abhiśraddhanti.

\textsuperscript{12} Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III, "tat yathāpi nāma kāśyapa mahāmeghā sarvāvatim lokadātum samjvdyā samam vāri pramuncati sarvam........"

\textsuperscript{13} Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III

\textsuperscript{14} Wogihara and Tsuchinda(1958), Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, III
to make many medicines and passes one of them which is thought the child would take it well also expresses Buddha as a redeemer. In this story introduced in the fifth class of the Saddharmapundarika-sūtra, Buddha’s earnest heart towards sattva in pain in endless transmigration can be seen.

According to the Saddharmapundarika-sūtra, Buddha is not the one perished but lives in this world forever. It is written that Buddha reached nirvana as a way to redeem sattva and assumes an eternal figure of the loka pitru. The concept of the Bhaiṣajya-rāja vaidya guru mentioned together with the loka pitru makes an eternal figure of Tathāgata known. Buddha is an immortal being. As seen before, the Saddharmapundarika-sūtra, continues to mention this with the concept of the loka pitru.

Maitreya-nātha Bodhisattva, Samantabhadra Bodhisattva, Manjusri Bodhisattva, and Avalokitesvara Bodhisattva appeared in the concept of loka pitru,

“Bodhi” is a derivative of “budh (realize)”, meaning enlightenment, wisdom and the wisdom of Buddha. “Sattva” is originated from “√as (exist)”, meaning a being of life, that is a creature. It also means that to save bodhi on top and save the world at the bottom. Har Daya defined that bodhisattva is a man of enlightenment or a man who seeks enlightenment.15

In Sthavirā and Mahayana, bodhisattva appears as a great master. But in Mahayana, there came a new aspect that ‘bodhisattvas lighten everywhere’ and the number of bodhisattvas increased beyond count. Among numerous bodhisattvas, 8 of them became leaders. The eight bodhisattvas are also called Dhānyi-Bodhisattva. It is mentioned in the 22nd chapter of the Saddharmapundarika-sūtra, sūtra that Buddha did prātihārtya and let many bodhisattvas know Anuttara-samyak-sambodhi(unexcelled complete Enlightenment), after he obtained Anuttara-samyak-sambodhi which was hard to get even during hundreds, thousands, tens of thousands and hundred millions kalpa of no limit. Besides, the purpose of bodhisattva is to save sattva to get Anuttara-samyak-sambodhi. The obligatory look of bodhisattva to look after sattva can be regarded as the concept of the loka pitru.

I. Samantabhadra Bodhisattva

---

15 Har Dayal(1932) The Bodhisattva Doctrine in Buddhist Sanskrit Literature, London, p.4
Samantabhadra Bodhisattva and Manjusri Bodhisattva are on either side of Buddha. Manjusri Bodhisattva takes charge of the virtue of wisdom of Buddha and Samantabhadra Bodhisattva believes the virtue of reason, longevity and behavior. Because Samantabhadra Bodhisattva has a virtue to make sattva live long, it is also called Yeonsu(延壽) Bodhisattva or Yeonmyung(延命) Bodhisattva. Also, because it sits on a lotus flower seat on a white elephant, it is also called Sangwang Bodhisattva or Yeongjoa Bodhisattva.

In the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, Samantabhadra Bodhisattva is surrounded by numerous bodhisattvas. Not only bodhisattvas, but also many groups of sattva such as gods, nāga, devils, gandharva, asura, garuda, kinnara and manusya follow Samantabhadra Bodhisattva. This imply the concept of the father of all sattvas. Samantabhadra Bodhisattva said to Tathāgata that it looks after all those who have saddharma. The purpose is to look after those who have saddharma and prevent evil deed. It is the role of Samantabhadra Bodhisattva to end the pain of sattva and get happiness for them, like a father taking care of his child.

I give an advice to them and make good deed for them, while preaching a dharani.

Through this word, we can peep that Samantabhadra Bodhisattva is a being of sarva-vyāpi and that Samantabhadra Bodhisattva saves sattva in a figure of sarva-vyāpi. Buddha said that those who frequently listen to and speak of a dharani of the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, must consider that all these things are the blessing of Samantabhadra Bodhisattva. In the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, Samantabhadra Bodhisattva appears as the concept of the loka pitru through its role to save sattva.

II. Manjusri Bodhisattva

Manjusri Bodhisattva is a bodhisattva which symbolizes the supreme wisdom in Mahayana. It has a great wisdom and holds a sword in its right hand and rides

---

16 Vaidya P.L,(1960) Saddharma-pundarika-sutra, XXVI, p.264 ,x, "atha kalu samantabhadro. bhodhisattvo mahasattvah pūrvasyām ......"

17 Above sutra , XXVI, p.264, “devanāgayaksagandharvāsuragrudakinnaramahauragamanusyāmanusyai...”

18 Above sutra, XVIII,

19 Above sutra , XXVI, p.265 "adānde daṇḍapati daṇḍā vartani daṇḍa kuśāle daṇḍu sudhāri... "

---
on a lion that symbolizes dignity. Comparing with other bodhisattvas, this bodhisattva rarely appears as the concept of the loka the pitru but in the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, it is expressed as the loka pitru.

Manjusri, the son of Buddha, is invited to this gathering to get rid of doubt.20

Manjusri, dragon, kinnara and asura are looking at you awaiting your answer.21

Like this, in the Saddharmapundarīka sūtra22, Buddha invites Manjusri Bodhisattva to preach his light emitting from his body and in this case Manjusri Bodhisattva can be seen as the loka pitru. And Buddha says to praṇā kūṭha bodhisattva as follows.

Bodhisattva, please wait a moment. You must see Manjusri Bodhisattva and discuss the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra with it. Then you could have completed Suhāmatī.23

And after Manjusri Bodhisattva went back from the world of nāga, 'praṇā kūṭha' bodhisattva asked as follows:

“Bodhisattva, how many persons got enlightenment in the world of nāga?”

Then Manjusri Bodhisattva said to 'praṇā kūṭha' bodhisattva. “I can’t tell. It is not possible to count them.”24

In order to listen to the conversation between two bodhisattvas, many bodhisattvas appear in heaven. They are those who were saved by Manjusri Bodhisattva. Like this, Manjusri Bodhisattva has a great power and is a father who saves sattva. Besides, it also falls upon the concept of the loka pitru that praṇā kūṭha bodhisattva asked Manjusri Bodhisattva to teach the truth.

It was the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra that I taught while living in the sea.25(25)

---

20 Above sutra, XIX, p.223
21 Above sutra, XIX, p.224
22 Above sutra, XIX, p224 "bhagavato mahā nimittam pratihārāvabhāsam drustvā āścarya......."
23 Above sutra, XIX, p.224
24 Above sutra, XIX, p.225
25 Above sutra, XIX, p.182
At this, the words that Manjusri Bodhisattva lives in the sea can be compared
with viṣṇu of Hinduism in terms of faith. The roles of viṣṇu of Hinduism and
that of Manjusri Bodhisattva of the Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra are found to be
similar to each other.

III. Maitreya Bodhisattva

According to the scripture of Buddhism, Maitreya Bodhisattva received a record
as a Buddha to save sattva which could not be saved by Buddha under the
nagavka (龍華樹/龍花樹). Maitreya Bodhisattva who is described as a Buddha
of next world and bodhisattva of this world is the only bodhisattva respected by
both Mahayana and Theravāda. In the Cakkavattisutta of Dīghanikāya26, there
are records about Maitreya Bodhisattva.

It is considered that India and Sri Lanka made the statue of Maitreya
Bodhisattva and of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva similarly. Buddha said that it is
only Maitreya Bodhisattva that has divine power to deliver Buddhist teachings
as they are since Tathāgata. Furthermore, in the śālistamba sūtra, Maitreya
Bodhisattva is described as not only a man who preach teachings but also the
concept of loka pitru.27 Śāriputra requests to interpret Buddha’s words that
“those who see pratītyasamutpāda see laws and those who see law see the law
of pratītyasamutpāda”28, and asks about pratītyasamutpāda to Maitreya
Bodhisattva. From the answer of Maitreya Bodhisattva to the following
questions, we can see the great figure of Maitreya Bodhisattva.

What is the law of pratītyasamutpāda? How can see the law if one knows the
law of pratītyasamutpāda? How can become a Buddha based on the knowledge
of law?

Maitreya Bodhisattva is considered to be able to preach Buddha’s words not
only in the present but also in the past or future. It has been known that
Maitreya Bodhisattva’s divine power of preaching is superior to all other
bodhisattvas. Through this observation, it is believed that Maitreya Bodhisattva,
too, has the concept of the loka pitru.

IV. Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva

26 DN, I, p.76
27 śālistamba Sūtra, I, p.100 "āyusmān śāriputro mitrīyam bhodhisattvam mahāsatva
metadavocat. adhyātra..."
28 Above sutra, I, p.100, "yo bhikśavah pratītyasamuppādam paśyati, sa dhammam
pasyati......artam kathamah..."
Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva is one of the most well-known bodhisattvas among bodhisattvas of Buddhism. It is a bodhisattva of mercy, who keeps sattva from pain until the appearance of Maitreya after Sakayamuni reached nirvana. Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva directly shows the concept of the loka pitru. The term of “Avalokiteśvara” can be interpreted in various ways and a basic interpretation is a ‘person who sees the whole world’. By language.

In Mahayana, Buddha is the center of salvation but Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva also contributes to it. It is said that preta of evil deed had been in pain for 500 lives but was reincarnated in paradise after Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva’s preaching. The expression that many people were saved by Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva and reincarnated in paradise is also found in Suvaraprabhaśa sūtra of Samādhīrāja sūtra. According to Kalpadrūma avadānamālā, Buddha freed a monk Sthaviraka from pain by virtue of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. At this, Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva is explained as a unique one, too.

Through Kāraṇḍavyūha sūtra, we can see various figures of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. Especially, its figure as a redeemer is emphasized; it is written that Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva eases the pain of sattva with his thousand eyes and thousand arms. Also, he created the sun and daughters with his eyes, śiva with his forehead, Brahman with his shoulders, visṇu with his breast, sarasvati with his teeth, wind with his mouth and earth with his legs. Like this, Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva as the loka pitru is well expressed through Kāraṇḍavyūha sūtra. A precious explanation about Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva is also found in the 24th class of Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra. On the importance of calling the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva, Buddha says as follows:

A good man, if limitless numerous sattvas in all troubles call the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva with one heart, Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva will immediately hear them and make them reach nirvana.

---

29 Wijesinghe.S.A., kalpadrūma avadānamālā, xiv, Vs..179–183
30 The Samādhīrāja Sūtra or Candrapradīpa Sūtra (Sanskrit) is a Buddhist sutra dating to c. 2nd century CE, Luis O. Gomez and Jonathan A. Silk, *Studies in the Literature of the Great Vehicle: Three Mahayana Buddhist Texts*, Ann Arbor, 1989 p.11
31 Wijesinghe.S.A.G, *kalpadrūma avadānamālā*, xiv, Vs.139–149
32 Sasanaratana M, Sri Lanka Mahayana Adahas, p.231
33 Vaidya P.L, XXIV, p.195 *Saddharma-pundarika-sutra*, “iha kulaputra yāvanti sattva kotinayutaśatasahasrāni yāni dukkhati...”,

If those who call the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva go into a big fire, the fire cannot burn them because of the divine power of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. If they fall into the deep water and call the name, they shall be in the shallow water. If numerous sattvas go into the great sea to find such treasure as gold, silver, glass, agate, coral, amber and pearl and the boat is drifted by a storm and falls into a country of devil, then even one person calls the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva, all persons shall get out of the disaster of devil. The 24th class is about that just calling the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva delivers one from pain. This is an example that shows the concept of the loka pitru in detail.

If persons receive the names of 6.2 billion bodhisattvas and practice good deeds offering food, clothing, bedding and medicine with their whole heart or call the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva and worship him even for a moment, these two courses have same blessings. This mentions that how important it is to call the name of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva.

According to Suvaraprabhāsa sūtra and Kalpadrūma avadānamālā, Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva saves those who are suppressed, dying, suffering from bad dreams and punished to go to the hell. And they can change their figures according to the demand of those in pain, they are described as 6 figures corresponding to 6 world of transmigration including Naraka, Preta, Tiryagyoni, Asura, Imanusya, and Nirvana. Also, it is said to appear as the figure of Buddha or pratyeka-buddha and save sattva.

Sthavirā says that those who did antarī pāpakarma receive punitive justice without fail. However, according to kalpadrūma avadānamālā, they could not receive punitive justice by virtue of the divine power of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. That is, Buddha ordered the King Ajāsat who killed his father to turn to Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva and the king who obeyed the order reincarnated in paradise by virtue of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. Like this, it

34 Vaidya P.L, Saddharma-pundarika-sutra, XXIV, p.195, “sacet punaḥ kulaputa sattvā nadibhirubhyamānā avalokiteśvarasya bodhisattvasya...."
35 Above sutra, p.197, "yaśa kulaputra........ yaśadvāsaṣṭ inām gangānādivālikāsāmānām...."
36 Above sutra, p.198, "sati kulaputra...... buddharūpena sattvānām dharmam desayati. santi loka dātvaḥ....."
37 Wijesinghe.S.A.G, kalpadrūma avadānamālā, xx, Vs.379, "santastu tribhaveloke bhodhicaryānusadhināḥ....."
38 Above sutra, V.385,
seems that Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva exercises great power enough to change punitive justice. In other words, it implies that Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva has all power as the loka pitru. Besides, as seen before, among numerous bodhisattvas Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva shows itself as the loka pitru most powerfully.

The reason why Maitreya Bodhisattva, Samantabhadra Bodhisattva, Manjusri Bodhisattva and Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva are outstanding among 6.2 billion bodhisattvas is because they have the concept of the loka pitru. Also, the reason why bodhisattvas are described as the figure of god in Hinduism seems because Hinduism was influenced by the then Greek culture and Pacian culture.39

**Comparison between the concept of the loka pitru of Mahayana Sutras and the Gospels According to Matthew, Mark, Luke and John**

The concept of loka pitru mentioned in Mahayana sutra is also found from the four Gospels of Christianity.

The Gospel according to Matthew

The Gospel according to Mark

The Gospel according to Luke

The Gospel according to John

Among them, the Gospels according to Matthew, Mark and Luke are referred to as the Synoptic Gospels because they include many of the same perspective. A gospel is a record of testimonies left by subsequent generations about the teachings and life of Jesus Christ the Son of God who delivered the news of salvation.

A gospel is originated from Εὐαγγέλιον in Ancient Greek (evangelion Evangelium in Latin) which means a good news. In Christianity, it means a theologian document written by authors with the Jesus Traditional handed down in the form of documents or oral tradition based on their Christology and the historical situation of community.

The Gospels and the Mahayana sutras have some similarities in the concept of the universal father and the loka pitru, for the concept of loka pitru which is corresponded to the universal father found in the Gospels is found from the concept of bodhisattva in Mahayana.

---

39 *Boddhisattva Doctrine*, pp.18–19
In rāṣṭrapāla paripṛuchā sūtra of Mahayana⁴⁰, the duties of bodhisattva are explained as follows:

By looking at poor people in pain, I abandoned my body by myself. I am the king who does everything for them. When they come to me, I make them rich.

This shows the look of bodhisattva who save sattva well. In the Gospel of Luke⁴¹(43), we can find a similar case.

God loves you so much. He is your Father. His love raises poor people. He has mercy on bad persons, lepers and prostitutes.

Like this, the duty of bodhisattva and Jesus is to save the poor. Also, they have mercy and charity on all persons and appear as Savior and give their lives to save the poor. Besides, Jesus tries to save Jews, and according to Lalitavistara⁴², bodhisattva also has mercy on sattva like a father and free them from transmigration.

While bodhisattva believes that all sattva are his children, like parents love their children, Jesus made Jews obtain citizenship and through His Divinity, helped people. For example, in the Gospel according to Mark, the following 18 cases are written.

2. Jesus heals Simon’s mother –in-law who was sick in bed with a fever (1:30-31).
3. Jesus heals a leper (1:40-45).
5. Jesus heals a man with a paralyzed hand on the Sabbath (3:1-5).

---

⁴⁰ rāṣṭrapāla paripṛuchā sūtra, Vs.118, p.133, “dukkhitān vikṣya narān daridrān tyaktā mayā priyameva śarīram - prāpya dhanam sa kṛtaśca ādyāḥ sarvamāme na rūpeṇ satā me”
⁴¹ Luke 12/6-7)
⁴² Mitra, Lalitavistara, Vs.15, p.203, “mātuḥ pituḥ caikaputre yatā prema pravṛtate-tathā annyonya sattvānām putra prema tadābhavat”
8. Jesus raises Jairus’ daughter who was thought to die (5:21-24, 35-43).
9. Jesus heals the woman who touched His Cloak (5:25-34).
15. Jesus heals a blind man at Bethsaida (8:22-26).
18. Jesus curses the fig tree (11:12-14).

The 18 cases written in the Gospel shows Jesus’ love. According to Lalitavistara, bodhisattvas did actions of same meaning as what Jesus did. That’s why we can consider Jesus and bodhisattvas are similar in behavior.

Bodhisattvas made those who had no eyes, ears and noses perfect and insane people sane. Those who suffered from diseases became healthy. And with the light of bodhisattvas who came under the linden tree, all pains of sattvas in the hell were removed and the sick became healthy. In other words, the act of bodhisattvas in Lalitavistara is similar to the act of Jesus.

We can compare both cases; one is that Buddha invites maha barahama to save sattvas and Jesus is told to save people.

A great hero, remember the promise to save sattvas. The time is now. Go. 

---

43 Mitra, Lalitavistara, Vs.11, p.203, "caksu śotra vihinaś ca ye cânYe vikalendriyāḥ..."
44 Mitra, Lalitavistara, Vs.13, p.203, unmatţāḥ smṛutimantaś ca daridrā dhaninaś thatā....."
45 Mitra, Lalitavistara, Vs.3, p.212, "sādho vīro smaracaryām purimām yā te āsij jagathitapraṇidhiḥ........."
According to the Gospel according to Mark, after John the Baptist was put in prison, he said as follows:

“This is the right time. The Kingdom of heaven is near.”

We can compare the birth of Tathāgata and that of God. In the Gospels, it is written that the birth of God is unseen by the eyes of ordinary people. The same thing is mentioned in samādhi rāja sūtra; that is, the birth of Tathāgata is unseen and unknown by and to ordinary people.\textsuperscript{46}

Also, according to samādhi rāja sūtra\textsuperscript{47}, after doing salvation, bodhisattvas go to sukhāvati where Amitābha Buddha is and are reincarnated in it. Likewise, Jesus died and rose back to life and was taken up to heaven. This is written in the Gospel according to Matthew Ch. 28 verses 1-8 and the Gospel according to Luke Ch. 24 verses 1-12. Consequently, the positions of bodhisattvas and Jesus are similar.

According to the Gospel according to John, heaven and earth will pass away, but God is eternal. Jesus is the Eternal God and will come to this world to save people.

Samādhi rāja sūtra\textsuperscript{48} and Svarṇaprabhāsa sūtra\textsuperscript{49} tell the eternity of Tathāgata. According to these sutras, Buddha lives in this world forever whether he died or not. He reached nirvana as a way to save sattva and assumes the eternal figure as the loka pitru. The same is written in Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra\textsuperscript{50}.

Therefore, we can know that Mahayana considers the greatness of Tathāgata in terms of faith. The Bible explains the Eternal God and the Mahayana sutra explains the eternal Tathāgata. The Gospels say that if you see God, the Father, you can live forever. The Mahayana sutra also preaches that through the encounter with the eternal Tathāgata, you can attain Buddhahood, which means entering sukhāvati or buddhakṣestra (paradise). In sukhāvati, countless numbers

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{46}Vindya, samādhi rāja sūtra, Vs.5, p.144 \textit{“evam sambhavaḥ buddhānām-lokanāthānām īdr uṣh.....”}
\textsuperscript{47}Vindya, samādhi rāja sūtra, Vs.69, p.169 \textit{“itaścyavītvāna sa bhodhisattvāḥ sukhāvatim”}
\textsuperscript{48}Vindya, samādhi rāja sūtra IV, Vs.1, p.19, \textit{“apāvṛtum me amurtasya dvāram-acakkhito dharmāva svabhāvuyādṛ uṣh”}
\textsuperscript{49}svarṇaprabhāsa sūtra, \textit{“na buddhaḥ parinirvāti na dharmaḥ parihiyate...”} p.19
\textsuperscript{50}Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra, Buddhist Sanskrit text, p.19 \textit{“yameva ahm lokapitā svayambhub- cikitsakaḥ sarvaprajāna nāthaḥ”}
\end{flushright}
of Tathāgata are. Samādhi rāja sūtra\textsuperscript{51} says as follows: bodhisattvas who free from obsession and follow laws enter sukhāvati after saving sattva. Like this, sukhāvati or buddhakṣestra suggests the same direction as the Kingdom of God (Heaven).

It is the duty of Christ to send all those who believe in God to God. A similar process is found in ganḍavyūha sūtra\textsuperscript{52}. The duty of bodhisattvas is to free sattva from pain and lead them to nirvana. Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva expressed as the son of Amitābha Buddha exists between Amitābha Buddha and sattva. This concept is similar to the role of Jesus who is between God and men. This concept can be found in many sutras including Sukhāvatī sūtra\textsuperscript{53}, Samādhi rāja sūtra, and Saddharma-pundarika-sūtra. Jesus in the Gospels preaches the words of God and Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva preaches the words of Amitābha Buddha.

According to kalpadṛuma avadāna māla\textsuperscript{54}, sometimes bodhisattvas appear as a guide who leads sattva to sukhāvati. This role is same as the position of Christ who leads people to God.

I am the way, the truth, and the life; no one goes to the Father except by me.\textsuperscript{55}

Whoever has seen me has seen the Father. Why, then, do you say, ‘Show us the Father’?\textsuperscript{56}

Generally, the four Gospels explain the relationships between God and Jesus, the Son of God.

The Spirit of God coming down like a dove and lighting on him. Then a voice said from heaven, “This is my own dear Son, with whom I am pleased.”\textsuperscript{57}(59)

\textsuperscript{51} Vindya, samādhi rāja sūtra, XIII, Vs.18, p.81, “anopaliptā lokena loka dharma na sajjati-asajjāmānaḥ kāyena buddhakṣestrāṇi gacchati”

\textsuperscript{52} Ganḍavyūha sura, Vs.99, p.383, “esa durgati viśodhaisyati- esa svargamupadarśisyati”

\textsuperscript{53} sukhāvatī sūtra, Buddhist Sanskrit text Vs.41, p.243, “so’va lokitesvaro artha kovidaḥ hitānukampī bahusattvamocakaḥ .....”

\textsuperscript{54} Wijesinhe, kalpadṛuma avadāna māla, XX, 283v

\textsuperscript{55} John, 14:6

\textsuperscript{56} John, 14:9

\textsuperscript{57} Mark, 3:13
The way God calls Jesus and the look that Jesus prays to God show that God is the Father and Jesus is the Son of God. A similar relationship to the concept of the Son of God in the Gospels can be found between Buddha and bodhisattvas. Buddha’s sons explained in Mahayana are bodhisattvas. For example, in Saddharma-pundarīka-sūtra, it is found that Buddha calls bodhisattvas his sons. Also, Maitreya Bodhisattva and Manjusri Bodhisattva are explained as Buddha’s sons.\(^{58}\) (60) Buddha is said to teach Vaipulya-sūtra to his sons bodhisattvas.\(^{59}\) (61) if to teach Vaipulya-sūtra is to show a father-son relationships, it indicates the form of covenant. This is shown in the form of covenant between God and Jesus in the Gospels.

In Saddharma-pundarīka-sūtra, I, the father of sattva tells my sons to free from pain.\(^{60}\) Like this, bodhisattvas preach laws with the concept of the Son ship of Jesus.

In the Gospels, it is written that God is the Creator who made the universe.

God made all things.\(^{61}\)

According to Mahayana, the creator of the universe is Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva. It is said that at the time of vipasvim Buddha, Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva created the world.\(^{62}\) In the concept of the loka pitru as seen in above, the world is made and exists by bodhisattva. This can be understood as same as the concept that God is the Creator of all things.

Table I: Comparison between Christianity and Mahayana

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Christianity</th>
<th>Mahayana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heaven</td>
<td>Buddha’s World,(sukhāvati, bodhakhśestra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The God (Universal Father)</td>
<td>Buddha(World Father)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus (Son of the God)</td>
<td>Bodhisattvas(Sons of Buddha)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{58}\) Vindya, samādhi rāja sūtra, I, Vs.56, p.10, "puccheti maitreyu jinasya putra....."

\(^{59}\) Buddhist Sanskrit text -06 Vs.40, p.30 “ḥavanti ye ceha sadā viṣuddhā- vyaktā sucī surata buddha putrāḥ”

\(^{60}\) Buddhist Sanskrit text -06 Vs.78 p.33, “putra mamā yūyaham pitā vo mayā ca nikāsita yūya dukkhāt”

\(^{61}\) Yohan, 1. 3–7

\(^{62}\) ganḍavyūha sutra, II p.120
Saddharmapundarika-sūtra is a great teaching and studies the law preached at the Dharma samgāya of bodhisattvas.\textsuperscript{63} At this, Tripitaka means the Old Testament and Saddharmapundarika-sūtra is the form of the New Testament. And the new ideas and positions found in Saddharmapundarika-sūtra appear in the form of the New Testament. That is, the reason why the term of samdhābhāsyam is used in Vs. 16 of the 12\textsuperscript{th} class of Saddharmapundarika-sūtra can be attributed to such grounds. And to conclude, the Gospels and the Mahayana sutras have many similarities.

**Conclusion**

We have looked into whether the concept of the loka pitru attributed to various religionists is also found in the life of Buddha. The reason that many religionists are expressed as universal (sarvavyāpi) god may be attributed to the social viewpoint that it is not right to see those who mastered their mind through practice. However, Buddha points out that it is not right to express a man as god. Therefore, it is inferred that in Nikaya, Buddha does not appear as the concept of the loka pitru or God-Godhead.

Born as a man, Buddha is a unique person beyond man, god, and Brahman; that is, Buddha is a great man. A big difference between Mahayana and Theravāda Buddhism occurred after Buddha reached nirvana is the life of Buddha.

In addition this study compares the concept of the loka pitru in Mahayana Buddhism and the universal father of Christianity.

In the age of Veda in India, there was a solid faith in gods. But as time went by, people had doubt ‘To which god should we perform ancestral rites?’ In this process, Nihilism arose. So Brahmanists newly established the relationships between god and men and the power of god, while reviving the faith in god. Criticizing this point, other various religions have arisen. Among them, Buddhism was at the head of them.

\textsuperscript{63} Buddhist Sanskrit text –06, Vs.17, p.212 “ye bhodhisattvā.......samgīti dharmesu ca ye karonti...”
Today, people try to get an answer for the concept of faith through modern science, which seems to be problematic. Especially, many illogical parts have been broken by modern science. In 1658, an Irish Ursar bishop insisted that God made the universe on March 23, 4004 before Christ. However, he was criticized by many scholars. ‘Davin’ discovered ten-million-year-old fossil men. According to ‘Prajapati Paramestin’, the universe was made from unexplainable liquid. The theories of the past that the universe was made by someone have been criticized by modern science. For this reason, we should not regard it as false because it cannot be proven by modern science.

Revealed religions inevitably have the concept of the universal father. For Buddhism, however, it is too much to express such a concept, for it is expressed as an important part that it is you who aid yourself. This also means that do not rely on others. Therefore, it might be not right to express Buddha as the loka pitru. It seems that Mahayana adopted this concept as an instrumental way. But if it is expanded more, it would appear as eternity beyond an instrument. Mahayana interpreted the concept of Buddha in various ways. Among them, the concept of the loka pitru expanded and appeared in not only Buddha but also bodhisattvas. Especially, it is found that bodhisattvas appear as the messenger of Buddha, while carrying out their role of savior. This study compared Buddha and bodhisattvas of Mahayana, not Theravāda Buddhism with God and Jesus in Christianity and found that the Mahayana sutras and the Gospels have close relationships variously. The Gospels might have been written in the period that the Mahayana sutras were made. In other words, the Mahayana sutras were discovered earlier than the Gospels. The concept of devāti deva which expresses Buddha in Theravada Buddhism is shown in Prince Siddhartha of Mahayana. And Buddha is explained far higher. It is said that faith and charity and various unique thoughts for the life of Buddha are found in many sutras including Saddharma-pundarīka-sūtra. They have much effect on the Gospels of Christianity. It is also said that the idea of bodhisattvas and Jātaka have much effect on making of the life story of the disciples of Jesus. Besides, Saddharma-pundarīka-sūtra and Lalitavistara seems to have much effect.

Christians have said the Mahayana sutras were influenced by the Gospels. Despite of difficulty to make an exact conclusion, it should be recognized from a plural viewpoint that both religions exchanged influence with each other.

---

64 Sanskrit Buddhism, p.172 “And this is also the period of the rise of apotryphal Gospels in which we are able to demonstrate quite a series of undoubted loans from Buddhistic literature.”

65 Ven. Sasanarathana(1961), Iakdiva Mahayana adahas, p.165
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