

### 4.17 Singularities of the elastic S-matrix element

W.J.M.L.P.Jayasinghe, R.A.D.Piyadasa  
Department of Mathematics, University of Kelaniya

#### ABSTRACT

It is well known that the standard conventional method of integral equations is not able to explain the analyticity of the elastic S-matrix element for the nuclear optical potential including the Coulomb potential. It has been shown [1],[2] that the cutting down of the potential at a large distance is essential to get rid of the redundant poles of the S-matrix element in case of an attractive exponentially decaying potential. This method has been found [3] to be quite general and it does not change the physics of the problem. Using this method, analyticity and the singularities of the S-matrix element is discussed.

#### Singularities of the elastic S-matrix element

Partial wave radial wave equation of angular momentum  $l$  corresponding to elastic scattering is given by,

$$\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + k^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] u_l(k, r) = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} [V(r) + V_c(r) + iW(r)] u_l(k, r) \quad (1)$$

where  $V(r)$  is the real part of nuclear potential,  $W(r)$  is the imaginary part of the optical potential  $V_c(r)$  is the Coulomb potential, and  $k$  is the incident wave number. Energy dependence of the optical potential is usually through laboratory energy  $E_{lab}$  and hence it depend on  $k^2$  and therefore  $k^2 - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} [V(r) + V_c(r) + iW(r)]$  is depending on  $k$  through  $k^2$ . It is well known that  $V_c(r)$  is independent of  $k$ . In order to make  $u_l(k, r)$  an entire function of  $k$ , we impose  $k$  independent boundary condition at the origin. Now, we can make use of a well known theorem of Poincare to deduce that the wave function is an entire function of  $k^2$  and hence it is an entire function of  $k$  as well. We cut off the exponential tails of the optical potential at sufficiently large  $R_m$  and use the relation

$$\frac{1}{u_l} \frac{du_l}{dr} = \frac{u_l'^{(-)}(k, r) - S_l^n(k, R_m) u_l'^{(+)}(k, r)}{u_l^{(-)}(k, r) - S_l^n(k, R_m) u_l^{(+)}(k, r)} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_m \quad (2)$$

to define  $S_l^n(k, R_m)$ , where  $u_l^{(-)}(k, r)$  and  $u_l^{(+)}(k, r)$  stand for incoming and outgoing Coulomb wave functions respectively which are given by

$$u_l^{(\pm)}(k, r) = \pm i \left[ \frac{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\left[ \frac{\pi\eta}{2} \mp i(l+1)\frac{\pi}{2} \right]} W_{\mp i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(-2ikr) \quad (3)$$

where  $W$  are the Whittaker functions. In the limit  $R_m \rightarrow \infty$   $S_l^n(k, R_m)$ , the nuclear part of the S-matrix element, becomes  $S_l^n(k)$  and the redundant poles removed [1],[2]. Now, the nuclear S-matrix element, in terms of the Whittaker functions is given by

$$S_l^n(k) = (-1)^l \frac{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)} \frac{W'_{i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(2ikr) - P_l(k, r) W_{i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(2ikr)}{W'_{-i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(-2ikr) - P_l(-k, r) W_{-i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(-2ikr)}, r \geq R_m \quad (4)$$

where  $P_l(k, r) = \frac{u_l'(k, r)}{u_l(k, r)}$ , and  $S_l^n(k)$  has an essential singularity at  $k = 0$ , which is apparent from the Wister's definition of the Gamma function  $\Gamma(z)$  since  $z = l+1 \pm i\eta$ . However, this singularity has no any physical meaning and is an outcome of treating  $\frac{2\eta k}{r}$  as well defined quantity for all  $k$  including  $k = 0$  in the corresponding Schrödinger equation. The infinite number of zeros and poles of S – matrix element due to the Gamma functions associated with S – matrix element have to be interpreted carefully.  $S_l^n(k) = 0$  at the zeros of  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)}$  and then the total wave function reduces to

$$u_l^{(-)}(k, r) = -i \left[ \frac{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\left[ \frac{\pi\eta}{2} + i(l+1)\frac{\pi}{2} \right]} W_{i\eta, l+\frac{1}{2}}(2ikr)$$

which is also zero. Even though the corresponding energies of these states are negative since the corresponding wave number is given by

$$k = i \frac{z_1 z_2 e^2}{\hbar^2 (n+l+1)} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

they are not physically meaningful bound states as found in [1], [2] long ago. These states are unphysical since poles are redundant poles. This fact is clearly understood by the fact that all these poles are absent in the physically meaningful total S – matrix element.

For large  $|k|$ ,  $S_l^n(k) \sim (-1)^l e^{-2ikr} S(k)$ , where  $S(k) = \frac{[-ik + P_l(k)]}{[ik - P_l(-k)]}$ . since  $W = e^{\pm 2ikr}$

for large  $k$ . Therefore the S-matrix element has an essential singularity at infinity, which is on the imaginary axis. It is clear that there are no redundant poles in the total S-matrix element is free from redundant poles since  $S_l^t(k) = S^C S_l^n$ , where  $S^C = \frac{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)}$ .

## References

- (1) Ma S. T. , Phys. Rev. 69, 668 (1946)
- (2) Ma S. T., Phys. Rev. 71, 195 (1946)
- (3) Barut A.O. et.al Jour. of Math. Phys. 2, 178 (1961)