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#### Abstract

Even in case of a simple polynomial $x^{3}+15 x b+28=0$, where $(3, b)=1$, it may be extremely difficult to discard the integral solutions without knowing the number $b$ exactly .In this case, one can make use of the method of Tartaglia and Cardan [Archbold J.W.1961] and its solutions can be written as $u+v, u \omega+v \omega^{2}, u \omega^{2}+v \omega$, where $u^{3}, v^{3}$ are the roots of the equation $x^{2}+28 x-125 b^{3}=0$, and $\omega$ is the cube root of unity .Also, $u$ or $v$ can be written as $\left(\frac{-28 \pm \sqrt{28^{2}+500 b^{3}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and this expression is obviously zero only when $b=0$. Therefore if $b \neq 0$, it is very difficult to determine that $k=\left(\frac{-28 \pm \sqrt{28^{2}+500 b^{3}}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is an integer or not. The theorem will be explained in the following , is Capable of discarding all integral solutions of this equation using only one condition $(3, b)=1$. The theorem in its naive form discards all integral solutions of the polynomial $. x^{p}+p b x-c^{p}=0$, where $p$ is a prime and $(p, b)=(p, c)=1$

\section*{Theorem} $x^{p}+p b x-c^{p}=0$ has no integral solutions if $(p, b)=(p, c)=1$, where $b, c$ are any integers and $p$ is any prime. Proof


Proof of the theorem is based on the following lemma

## Lemma

If $(a, p)=(b, p)=1$, and if $s=a^{p}-b^{p}$ is divisible by $p$, then $p^{2}$ divides $s$. This is true even when $s=a^{p}+b^{p}$ and $p$ is odd.

## Proof of the Lemma

$s=a^{p}-a-\left(b^{p}-b\right)+a-b$ and since $s$ is divisible by $p$ and $a^{p}-a, b^{p}-b$ are divisible by $p$ due to Fermat's little theorem, it follows that $a-b$ is divisible by $p$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a^{p}-b^{p}=(a-b)\left[\left(a^{p-1}-b^{p-1}\right)+b\left(a^{p-2}-b^{p-2}\right)+\cdots+b^{p-3}(a-b)+p b^{p-1}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), it follows that $s$ is divisible by $p^{2}$. Proof of the lemma for $a^{p}+b^{p}$ is almost the above. It is well known that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p}+p b x-c^{p}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has either integral or irrational roots.
If this equation has an integral root $l$, let $x=l$ and $(p, l)=1$. Then, $l^{p}-c^{p}+p b l=0$.

From the Lemma, it follows that $p^{2} \mid\left(l^{p}-c^{p}\right)$.Therefore $p \mid b$, and this is a contradiction. Therefore equation has no integral roots which are not divisible by $p$.If it has an integral solution which is divisible by $p$, then let $x=p^{\beta} k,(p, k)=1$. Then we have, $\left(p^{\beta} k\right)^{p}+p b p^{\beta} k-c^{p}=0$, and hence $p \mid c$, which is again a contradiction since $(p, c)=1$ which completes the proof.
As an special case of the theorem, consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+15 x b+28=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+1+15 x b+3^{3}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is clear that this equation has no integral root $l \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ since 1 is not divisible by 3 . If this equation has an integral root $k$ which is not divisible by 3 , then $k^{3}+1+15 k b+3^{2}=0$ from which it follows that $3 \mid b$ due to the Lemma(in case of negative $c$ ) and is a contradiction. Therefore the equation has no integral roots. In case of $p=2$, it follows from the theorem that the equation

$$
x^{2}-2 b x-q^{2}=0
$$

where $(2, q)=1=(2, b)$ 'has no integral roots. Again from the theorem it follows that $x^{p}-p c x-p^{\beta p} \alpha^{p}-b^{p}=0$, where $(p, c)=1=(b, p)$ and $p$ is a prime, has no integral solutions.. In particular here, $p^{\beta p} \alpha^{3}, b^{p}$ are two components of Fermat triples. It is easy to deduce that this equation has no integral roots. This theorem may hold for some other useful forms of polynomial equations.
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