In studying the history of Sāsana, Buddhist dispensation the last two chapters of Cullavaggapali of Vinaya Piṭaka play an important role. According to our two historic and authentic resources, Mahavamsa, the Great Chronicle and the Sūmantapāśādikā, the commentary on Vinaya Pitaka, both the Dhamma and Vinaya were rehearsed in the second council. The scholars of the orient and the Occident have attempted to consolidate the historicity of the second council. But the twelfth chapter of the Cullavaggapali gives evidence against this view. As evident from it what had taken place in Vesali was not a council but a Samghādhikarana, questions of dispute among the Buddhist monks. The purpose of this article to examine this subtle difference to which many have not paid their attention.