# Development of a complex intervention package for dengue prevention

RMNU Rajapaksha<sup>1\*</sup>, C Abeysena<sup>2</sup>, A Balasuriya<sup>3</sup>, N PannilaHetti<sup>4</sup>, A Alagiyawanna<sup>1</sup>, S Manilgama<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Promotion Bureau, Ministry of Health, Colombo, Sri Lanka
<sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka
<sup>3</sup>General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Ratmalana, Sri Lanka
<sup>4</sup>National Dengue Control Unit, Ministry of Health, Colombo, Sri Lanka
<sup>5</sup>Teaching Hospital Kurunegala, Sri Lanka
\*Correspondence: drnayani2020@gmail.com
<sup>1</sup>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4641-903X

# Abstract

**Introduction** : Complex interventions are widely used in public health practices with noteworthy health impacts. Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI) plan is an effective method directed at enacting behaviour change to benefit health and social development which encourage precise behavioural outcomes and is effective in planning a behavioural change for dengue control. The aim of this study was to develop an intervention package to change the behaviour to prevent dengue in one of the highest dengue-endemic areas of Sri Lanka.

**Methods**: The development of the intervention package was formulated according to the two phases, the 'Theoretical phase' and 'Modelling phase' of the framework for 'Developing and Evaluating Complex Interventions'. World Health Organization's 10 key steps in planning COMBI strategies were followed in order to develop the present intervention package. A situational market analysis was conducted in the highest dengue-endemic area in Kurunegala district to identify the Specific Behavioural Objectives (SBOO) for the COMBI plan. The development of the COMBI plan was conducted using the mixed methodological approach including quantitative and qualitative designs.

**Results**: The overall goal of the COMBI plan was to decrease the morbidity and mortality due to dengue illness by improving the dengue prevention behaviours among householders. The SBOO for the plan were to improve the proper waste management practices according to the '3R concept' (Reduce, Reuse and Re-cycling) and to improve the dengue prevention practices by 30 minutes of weekly cleaning. The strategies of intervention package were to conduct a community empowerment program to improve household waste management and weekly practices on dengue prevention by conducting administrative mobilization and public relationship, public advocacy, community mobilization, personal selling, advertising, and point of service promotion during follow-up.

**Conclusion**: Developing a COMBI plan for an area after the identification of SBOO would be feasible to implement in order to empower the community to prevent dengue and improve community health services.

Keywords: Complex interventions; dengue; behaviour; waste management; COMBI

## Introduction

Dengue is a vector borne viral infection which is transmitted by a bite of an infected Aedes mosquito. It has become a major health problem globally as well as locally. Both tropical and subtropical areas of the world are affected by dengue Dengue fever outbreaks have been illness. documented in every continent except Antarctica [1]. Importantly, South-East Asian (SEA) region is one of the most seriously affected regions among the endemic areas globally [2]. Dengue is a leading public health problem in Sri Lanka which affects all 26 districts and all age groups [3,4]. The effect of dengue on Sri Lanka has been increasing in the last two decades. There was a 4.3-fold rise of cases in 2016 compared to 2010 during the same period. There were peaks of cases which coincided with monsoons. In 2016, Western province reported the highest number of Dengue Fever (DF) cases (43%; n=18186) and Gampaha (12121), Kurunegala (4889), Kalutara (4589), Batticaloa (3946), Ratnapura (3898), and Kandy (3853) were the other mostly affected areas. By the end of 2017, 180,000 people were affected due to the outbreak situation in Sri Lanka [5]. Of the 26 districts in Sri Lanka, Kurunegala district has reported a drastic hike in the dengue cases during 2017, which was the most severe epidemic during last two decades. There were 167,198 reported dengue cases in the country by November 2017. Out of the total, 10,087 cases were reported from Kurunegala district which was ranked fourth at the national level [4]. In 2017, the managing capacity of the district exceeded due to an unbearable number of dengue patients which became a disaster for the curative sector healthcare institutions in the Kurunegala district. Moreover, there was an interruption of reporting of cases to the epidemiology unit which led to the delay in preventive activities by the preventive sector healthcare institutions [6]. Even with the increasing knowledge and awareness about dengue prevention, many community members did not take necessary actions to address it.

Many strategies have been employed to tackle the outbreaks, but the key components of outbreak response are household and community level behavioural and social interventions. Moreover, leadership and planning for sustainable community participation, transfer of technical knowledge and skills in planning, and measures to ensure sustainability at each level are identified as key issues in dengue prevention. Many community-based dengue control programmes focus only to improve knowledge and to increase awareness assuming that there will be a change in behaviours. However, behavioural change is important in effective implementation of control activities to prevent and control dengue [2].

Complex interventions are widely used in public health practices. The three main stages can be identified in the development of a complex intervention packages including 'development of the intervention, piloting and evaluation of the effectivesness' [7-9]. The methodical development of the intervention using theory and a thorough description of the developd intervention will aid in its proper evaluation following implementation of the intervention. Importantly, other people would replicate the developed intervention. The behavioural interventions would focus on identifying key risk reduction actions at the household and community level to minimize negative health consequences. Moreover, the application of multiple approaches is essential to be included to achieve the success to ensure communitylocated outbreak prevention through achieving specific behavioural results [10]. A systematic review revealed that community mobilization programmes are effective interventions in reducing Aedes aegypti entomological indices [11]. Notably, multi-stakeholder partnership leads to achieve successful outcomes of the interventions. Furthermore, the importance of incorporating health education and capacity building for the development of the programmes

to prevent communicable disease is highlighted [12]. Therefore, there is a need to empower the community through multi-stakeholder participation to achieve a sustainable solution for dengue prevention and all steps to be taken to minimize the dengue burden by changing behaviour of the community members. Importantly, systematic development of a complex intervention with minimum risk of bias is currently needed and it needs to be culturally and geographically suited.

Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI) is defined as a methodological approach that cautiously combines a range of communication interventions implied to encourage people and families to implement and maintain healthy behaviours. It uses a managerial view to plan social mobilization and communication for behavioural impact in public health. The importance of social mobilization for prevention and control of dengue has gathered pace internationally in recent years. Furthermore, COMBI planning provides a comprehensive and innovative managerial perspective on planning social mobilisation and communication for behavioural impact, which is intended for programme managers to use in integrating interventions to effectively manage dengue. Moreover, to ensure sustainable dengue prevention, the COMBI plans should address the various at-risk populations and it needs to be adopted culturally [13]. Importantly, the COMBI theory encourages precise behavioural outcomes. Also, it is effective in planning a behavioural change for dengue control. Further, it is an important strategy for imposing behaviour change to benefit health and social development [2,10,14,15]. Notably, COMBI is a different approach which ensures the community mobilization, communication, and community participation into a single cohesive approach focused on behaviour to improve individual wellbeing which is an ideal tool for dengue prevention [2,16]. Furthermore, studies with scientifically developed intervention packages for dengue prevention are insufficient. In this backdrop, to prevent the occurrence of dengue outbreaks the behaviour of the community needs to be changed. Thus, the development of an intervention for the prevention of dengue based on evidence was a timely need. Importantly, the results of this study would enable responsible authorities to strengthen control strategies to improve dengue prevention activities.

The aim of the study was to develop a complex intervention package to change the behaviour of the householders for dengue prevention in the Kurunegala district, Sri Lanka.

# **Methods**

A complex intervention process was formulated according to the 2008 guide and was modified with the revised framework of 'Developing and Evaluating Complex Interventions: New Guidence' [7-9]. This framework provides a guideline for using a stepped approach which separates different elements and for using the probable active component in developing the intervention. The development of the intervention package was formulated according to the two phases including 'Theoretical phase' and 'Modelling phase'.

Theoretical phase is to identify the evidence base and appropriate theory to gather relevant information to find out the effective intervention packages for dengue prevention. Thus, the facts for the theoretical phase were identified from various sources, including existing evidence. The modelling phase consists of the modelling process and outcomes assessment. The model of the present study was the COMBI theory to empower the community to change the behaviour and ensure sustainable dengue control. The World Health Organization's (WHO) 10 key steps in planning COMBI strategies were followed in order to develop the present intervention package [2,17,18]. Accordingly, a Situational Market Analysis for Communication Keys (SMACK) was conducted in the highest dengue endemic area

in the Kurunegala district (Kurunegala MOH area) to identify the Specific Behavioural Objectives (SBOO) for the plan after preparing preliminary behavioural objectives. The SBOO were finalized using the results of the SMACK which was conducted using mixed methodological approach including quantitative and qualitative methods.

#### Quantitative study

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in the highly endemic area in the Kurunegala district during January 2019. The required number of 496 individuals in the separate households was taken randomly as the study sample. It was conducted using a pre-tested, validated, interviewer-administered questionnaire.

### **Qualitative study**

A qualitative study was conducted among adults between 18 to 70 years in the Kurunegala district from December 2018 to February 2019. For Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), 8 to 10 participants from the stakeholder group and the community group were taken as the study sample. Total 12 KIIs were conducted among health and non-health stakeholders. Eighteen FGDs were conducted in the particular setting until a theoretical saturation point was achieved. There were 138 participants for the FGDs including 68 from the community non-leader group, 29 from the community leader group and 41 from the stakeholder group. 'Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats (SWOT)' were assessed using qualitative method.

After analysing the results of mixed methodological studies, the intervention package was finalized by modified Delphi method with expert opinion from specialists in public health from the National Dengue Control Unit (NDCU), Health Promotion Bureau (HPB), provincial level, general medicine, the grass-root level experts and an advisor of the international COMBI institute. The developed plan with the SBOO, strategies, activities for implementation of the intervention and monitoring of the intervention were presented to the panel of experts and finalized. The management team prepared the schedule, budget plan, mobilization for resources and activity plan for the implementation of the developed intervention with the guidance of an economist.

# **Results**

# **Theoretical phase**

There are different types of behavioural theories for health education, health promotion and behaviour change. Of them, Health Belief Model (HBM) [19,20], Seven Doors Model for Behaviour Change [21,22], the Community Capacity Building Model for Sustainable Dengue Problem Solution (CCB-SDPS) [23-26], and Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI) planning [2,17,27] were the behaviour change models widely used for dengue prevention. Taking the effectiveness of the already conducted studies, planning abilities, implementation, and feasibility issues and the consensus of the expert panel in to consideration, this intervention was formulated using the COMBI theory. According to the literature review, the components of effective intervention packages were, building partnership with multistakeholders, waste management at households, improving garbage collection, entomological risk surveillance, capacity building at grass root level, stakeholder meetings, formulation of local steering committee, community working group formation and ensuring intra-sectoral coordination. The major factors associated with sustainable prevention of dengue are adequate knowledge of dengue and dengue prevention, positive attitudes towards the dengue prevention, adequate dengue prevention practices among the community members, adequate health seeking behaviour and high-risk perception on dengue, adequate dengue prevention behaviours, adequate community capacity for sustainable dengue prevention and adequate management of wet containers to prevent vector proliferation. The

identified theories and components were used to develop the appropriate model. According to studies, knowledge, attitudes, practices, healthseeking behaviours, and community capacity are the major factors influencing the behaviour change. Therefore, those were considered as the main components for behaviour change to achieve sustainable dengue prevention in the highly endemic area in the district.

# The Modelling Phase

### Step one

Overall goal was to decrease the morbidity and mortality due to dengue illness by improving the dengue prevention behaviours among the householders in a highly endemic division in the district.

### Step two

Expected preliminary behavioural objectives were formulated prior to development of Specific Behavioural Objectives (SBOO).

# Step three

### **Quantitative study**

According to the quantitative analysis, mean knowledge on dengue prevention was 43.7% (SD 13.36; range 10 - 82). Of the participants, 57.7% (n=286) had good attitudes, 24.2% (n=120) had adequate dengue prevention practices, 44.6% (n=221) had good health seeking behaviours and 38.7% (n=74) had perceived that the community capacity is adequate for dengue prevention. Only 19% was knowledgeable on the importance of early notification of suspected cases and 19.2% (n=95) had adequate overall behaviours on dengue prevention.

# **Qualitative study**

The analysis of SWOT in relation to achieving the behavioural objectives are depicted in Table 1. Consumer need, cost, convenience analysis revealed that the majority (80%) is more benefit than the cost. Moreover, people can earn extra money from manufacturing compost and home gardening using compost. Time taken for dengue activities is more beneficial than disease management. When considering the risk perception on dengue, it was very low and onefourth of them perceived that it is not a deadly disease, and they believed so if their family is not affected by dengue. Moreover, when considering competitors, there were no alternative behaviours or services being offered for this community. But, the priority is shifted to control other outbreak situations such as leishmaniasis control activities in the area. The preferred methods of communication among the community were group discussions (43.4%), awareness through telephones (17.3%), displaying of notices or handbills (15.7%), other modes like street drama (12%) and one to one communication (11.5%).

# Step four

The Specific Behavioural Objectives (SBOO) were to adopt proper waste management practices according to 3R method (Reduce, Reuse and Recycling) among the householders to reduce vector density and to improve the regular weekly dengue prevention practices by allocating at least one day a week to practice 30 minutes of cleaning to improve dengue prevention behaviours. Branding the theme was planned to distribute among the participants of the workshops [Figure 1].

### Step five

The main strategy was to conduct a community empowerment programme to improve household waste management and weekly practices on dengue prevention by conducting the administrative mobilization and public relationship, public relations, public advocacy, community mobilization, personal selling, advertising and promotion, and point of service promotion during follow up. Table 2 shows the activities which were identified by the developed COMBI based intervention.

Two-day workshops were planned to conduct with 25-30 participants per workshop. Day one [Session I] was for motivation and the awareness on dengue prevention, which was planned to be conducted by the district Health Promotion Officer (HPO). Educational presentation on awareness on dengue and consequences of dengue disease, identification of dengue vectors and vector control strategies and the importance of at least 30 minutes premises inspection per week, waste management according to 3R concept, and interactive lecture on composting and organic farming were conducted during the session. Session II was a skill improvement session of two sub-components. Demonstration of composting, organic farming and interactive discussion session using an information guide with the participants and a session including constructive discussion with feedback of peers and trainer through analyzing the problems of the workshop for the participants were planned to be conducted as the component of session two. Day-two also had two sessions. A household inspection and entomology survey were planned to be conducted by trained entomological assistants. Session II comprised practical demonstration of composting lead by the community leader group and community base small group discussion at the households to identify the practical problems. Thereafter, theoretical problems were planned to be addressed.

### Step six

The finalized plan (intervention package) was presented to the stakeholders to get their feedback prior to finalizing the intervention plan.

### Step seven

The management team consisted of the first author and public health specialists. The technical advisory group consisted of public health specialists with the collaboration of Regional Director of Health services (RDHS), district HPO, and Provincial vector control officer, Medical Officer of Health (MOH) and public health inspectors. The collaborating agencies were Ministry of Health, RDHS office, MOH office, Agriculture department and District secretariat, Kurunegala, Sri Lanka.

# Step eight: Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation were planned to be conducted after the community empowerment program according to their needs. It was planned to follow up weekly for one month by the research team following the implementation sessions. The follow up was mainly focused on personal selling and supplemented by the counselling after assessing their compliance. The desired behavioural objectives are planned to repeat weekly for four weeks, and post-intervention evaluation is planned to carry out after three months of completion of the intervention program. Weekly observational record was designed to document [Supplementary file 1].

# Step nine: Impact Assessment

A pilot study was planned to be conducted to assess the feasibility issues and outcomes of interest including changes in knowledge, attitudes, vector control practices, dengue prevention behaviour and community capacity. Entomological surveys were planned to carry out to assess the impact on vector densities. The behavioural impact was planned to be assessed by observations of management of waste according to 3R concept, outdoor, indoor water containers, water storages and roof gutter at the household level during the implementation of the finalized plan. After piloting the developed intervention package, a cluster randomized trial was planned to conduct to assess the effectiveness of the complex intervention package.

# Step ten: Scheduling and Budget

The action plan was developed after taking expert opinion from the panel of expert.

### **Discussion**

The rationale for a complex intervention is to develop a theoretical understanding of the likely process of change, by drawing on existing evidence and theory, supplemented, if necessary, by new primary research, qualitative approach with the stakeholders or the targeted population [9]. In addition, there may be lots of competing or partly overlapping theories [27]. However, the research team needs expert opinion on relevant disciplines to find the most appropriate theory and as the complex interventions have several dimensions of complexity, it may be to do with the range of possible outcomes, or their variability in the target population, rather than with the number of elements in the intervention package itself. It follows that there is no sharp boundary between simple and complex interventions [9]. The interventions have been developed according to different models and planning processes. The COMBI approach is successfully demonstrated that correct problem identification synergized with community engagement can potentially reduce Aedes proliferation and dengue morbidity in Malaysia and Sri Lanka [28,29]. Out of the COMBI based interventions, Malaysia used integrated marketing communication techniques to inoculate this behavioural change to the target group. Therefore, the COMBI approach has successful with correct problem identification and community engagement [28]. The use of the technique instead of face-to-face Delphi consultative meetings had the advantage of not requiring the experts to take time off their schedules to contribute to the study. It allows the experts to respond at any time convenient to them and to contact any source of information if needed. Further, this process facilitated the independence of forming opinion and perspectives as it prevented the manipulation of opinion by influential individuals, which could happen in a face-to-face consultative meeting [30]. In the process of development of the intervention plan, there was a quantitative study to finalize the SBOO. For that, validated tools were used to conduct the current situation marketing analysis as a major step in the COMBI planning process. The generalizability of an intervention package depends on the effectiveness and validity of models, theories, methods used for the development process. In the

present study, after considering the effectiveness of the already conducted studies, planning abilities, implementation, and feasibility issues with the consensus of the expert panel, COMBI planning was identified for the development of the interventional package. Importantly, the COMBI theory was used to plan the process of community empowerment program, because it is a proven and effective method for behaviour change for dengue control and widely utilized in planning process in different countries [14]. Moreover, the process of conducting current situation market analysis is also performed by mixed methodology with representative samples. Therefore, the generalizability of the intervention to high endemic areas could be done with adaptation to setting. Notably, incorporation of similar programs for prevention of dengue through behavioural change to the public health system of Sri Lanka seems feasible and cost effective [29]. Moreover, a community-based dengue prevention and control process enables key stakeholders in the community to actively prevent and control their dengue problem [26]. Importantly, the studies in the Dominican Republic [31], Colombia [32], Hulu Langat [28] and Thailand [26] revealed that the interpersonal communication was an effective way to achieve greater success of a communitybased programme. Therefore, the present intervention package was aimed to empower the community by developing a sustainable dengue control process at the grass root level with the involvement of multi-stakeholders. Importantly, the dengue problem can be solved by conducting the community-based dengue prevention process with the active participation of key stakeholders in the community. Moreover, sustainability of community-based dengue prevention and control comprise activities depending on the larval breeding sources, control adult mosquitoes, apply personal protection, introduce dengue symptom detection, and outbreak prevention [33]. In Sri Lanka also there is a clear need to address above areas especially waste management and vector control [29]. Importantly, the specificity of the intervention messages of the present study was waste management according to 3R concept aiming source reduction from both outdoor and indoor vector breeding places. Formalization of waste management would empower the community members to identify breeding sites and removing them. It would not be that difficult or labour intensive or costly than managing dengue cases or conducting mass cleaning campaigns. Such specific messages have been used to achieve successful behavioural outcomes in in the interventions other countries [28,29,32,34,35]. Solid waste management is a growing challenge to many countries. Improper waste management increases the breeding places for many vectors resulting in proliferation of vector-borne diseases [36]. Moreover, the presence of solid waste around households, such as cans, car parts, bottles, old and used tyres, plastic materials, broken clay, glass vessels and coconut shells create outdoor breeding sites for Aedes mosquitoes and represented in our ecosystem the most productive container types. Maintaining solid waste for a long time often more than seven days supports the breeding of Aedes aegypti [37] and increases the transmission of dengue. Moreover, interventional studies were developed according to COMBI with two SBOO including 30 minutes' inspection on Sunday and improve the proper waste management practices. The identified SBOO were management of water containers twice weekly and scrubbing of any containers found to contain larvae. Furthermore, a study carried out in Malaysia revealed that the knowledge, attitudes and practices were influenced by the interventional programme during its implementation weeks. However, the outcome evaluations at the end of the study revealed that COMBI programme failed to achieve the desired behavioral impact of the programme. The multi-stakeholder collaboration is one of the suggested solutions to overcome the problems of the programme, because there was lack of human

funding. Furthermore, resources and they suggested to improve the health sector participation for the awareness of the community to prevent dengue [38]. Therefore, for the development of the present intervention package, the multi-stakeholder participation was ensured. Similar to the present study, community empowerment programs were conducted in Cuba [39,40] and Myanmar [35]. Moreover, behaviour change intervention package was developed to manage household water containers in the Philippines, 2012. Further, this study revealed that other factors such as social and political environment are needed to explain community responses to new dengue vector control interventions [34]. Therefore, the present study was developed using a new communication strategy with multi-stakeholder participation. Another study conducted in Cuba revealed the importance of the community-based strategies such as organizational management, entomological risk surveillance, capacity building and community work for vector control. The community empowerment strategy increased community involvement and added effectiveness to routine A. aegypti control [40]. The efficacy of community-controlled partnership-driven interventions was found to be superior to the vertical approach in terms of sustainability and community empowerment. Moreover, a study in Sri Lanka, revealed that the vector control interventions had a significant impact on vector densities (BI) and on dengue incidence. It revealed that rigorous vector control programs lead to reduction of the disease and economic burden of dengue in endemic settings [41]. When considering the intervention packages in the studies, use of scientific evidence in the development process was insufficient. Therefore, to develop the present intervention package, the evidence from most of the effective intervention packages were utilized. Not only that, but also the identified gaps of the failed interventions were considered to gain better outcomes of interest.

Therefore, the present intervention package can be considered as a scientifically developed intervention for sustainable dengue prevention.

## **Conclusion and Recommendations**

Developing a COMBI plan for an area after the identification of specific behavioural objectives would make it feasible for implementation in order to empower the community to prevent dengue in the area and to improve community health services. Scientifically developed COMBI based planning process can be used to bring about satisfactory control of dengue with the participation of the community in high endemic areas to achieve sustainable dengue prevention. This type of intervention can be applied to any locality after conducting the situation marketing analysis of the relevant area and developing area specific COMBI plan with the support of the preventive sector healthcare institutions in any country. Moreover, future research can be conducted using the COMBI planning process in the other endemic areas in preventing dengue outbreaks in the region or globally. There is a need of long term follow up to understand sustainability of the interventions.

#### **Author declaration**

**Author Contributions:** All authors contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study. Original draft was written by the PI (RMNUR) and it was reviewed by the other co-authors including CA, AB, NP, AA, SM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,commercial, or not-for-profit sectors for publication purposes.

**Acknowledgements:** Authors would like to acknowledge the Provincial Directors of North-Western Province (NWP), Regional Directors of Kurunegala District, Consultant Community Physician, NWP, MOH Kurunegala, public health team including public health inspectors, dengue field assistants and all participants, Professor Everold Husein (Senior Communication Advisor-Consultant, Adjunct Professor, President-The COMBI Institute), CCP/ National Dengue Control Unit (NDCU), CCP/HPB and the RC/Medical Research Institute of Sri Lanka.

**Ethics approval:** Ethical approval was obtained from the ERC, Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka.

**Pre-print:** The Pre-print of the study is available on MedRxiv pre-print server to get the suggestions for improvements from the scientific community. Available online https://www.medrxiv.org/ontent/10.1101/2022.06.16.22274559 v1.ful

# References

 National Dengue Control Unit, Ministry of Health, Nutrition and Indigenous Medicine. National Action Plan on Prevention and Control of Dengue 2019 - 2023, Sri Lanka [Internet]. Nanila Publication (Pvt) Ltd; 2018. Available from: http://www.dengue.health.gov.lk/web/ phocadownload/national\_action\_plan\_book\_ final.pdf

[2] Parks W, Lloyd L. Planning social mobilization and communication for dengue fever prevention and control: A step-by-step guide [Internet]. WHO; 2004. Available from: https://apps.who. int/iris/handle/10665/42832

- [3] Tissera H, Pannila-Hetti N, Samaraweera P, Weeraman J, Palihawadana P, Amarasinghe A. Sustainable dengue prevention and control through a comprehensive integrated approach: the Sri Lankan perspective. WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health, 5 ([2)]: 106 -112. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/329657
- [4] Epidemiology Unit, Sri Lanka. Distribution of Notification(H399) Dengue Cases by Month [Internet].2017. Available from: http://www. epid.gov.lk/web/index.php?ltemid=448.
- [5] WHO. Dengue fever Sri Lanka [Internet].
   2017. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/ don/19-july-2017-dengue-sri-lanka/en/
- [6] Ekanayake G, Manilgama S. Dengue epidemic and Kurunegala. Journal of Kurunegala Medical Association, 2017 September. 9 (5): 24-28.
- [7] Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: The new Medical Research Council guidance [Internet]. BMJ 2008;337:a1655. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a1655
- [8] Möhler R, Köpke S, Meyer G. Criteria for Reporting the Development and Evaluation of Complex Interventions in healthcare: revised guideline (CReDECI 2) [Internet]. Trials 16, 204 (2015). Available from: https://doi. org/10.1186/s13063-015-0709-y
- [9] Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Medical Research Council Guidance. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance [Internet]. BMJ. 2008 Sep 29;337:a1655. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18824488/
- [10] WHO. COMMUNICATION FOR BEHAVIOURAL

IMPACT (COMBI): A toolkit for behavioural and social communication in outbreak response [Internet]. 2012. Available from: http://apps. who.int//iris/bitstream/10665/75170/1/WHO\_ HSE\_GCR\_2012.13\_eng.pdf?ua=1

- [11] Alvarado-Castro V, Paredes-Solís, S, Nava-Aguilera E, Morales-Pérez A, Alarcón-Morales L, Balderas-Vargas NA, Andersson N. Assessing the effects of interventions for Aedes aegypti control: systematic review and meta-analysis of cluster randomised controlled trials [Internet]. BMC public health, 2017. 17(Suppl 1), 384. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-017-4290-z
- [12] Aya-Pastrana N, Lazo-Porras M, Miranda JJ, Beran D, Suggs LS. Social marketing interventions for the prevention and control of neglected tropical diseases: A systematic review [Internet]. PLoS neglected tropical diseases, 2020. 14(6), e0008360. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008360
- WHO. Dengue: Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, Prevention and Control: New Edition. (World Health Organization and the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) [Internet]. 2009. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK143158/: ISBN 978 92 4 154787 1
- [14] THE COMBI INSTITUTE. Basics of Communication for Behavioral Impact. (2018-2019). Available from: http://www. combiinstitute.org/combi-basics.html.
- [15] Health Education Bureau. Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI). MD presentation.PGIM: University of Colombo. 2017.
- [16] ADB, WHO. Managing Regional Public Goods for Health Community-Based Dengue Vector Control [Internet]. Philippines. 2013. Availabe from: https://www.adb.org/publications/ managing-regional-public-goods-healthcommunity-based-dengue-vector-control

- [17] WHO, UNICEF, FAO. Communication for Behavioural Impact (Combi). Communication for Behavioural Impact (Combi) [Internet].
   2012. 3–14. Available from: http://doi.org/ WHO/HSE/GCR/2012.13
- [18] Health Education Bureau [HEB]. Planning of Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI). MD presentation. 2018. PGIM: University of Colombo.
- [19] Lennon JL.The Use of the Health Belief Model in Dengue Health Education [Internet]. 2005. Available from: https: //apps.who.int/iris/bitstreamhandle/ 10665/164117dbv29p217pdf ; jsessionid = 7 EAOBB4A8F0C78786 0045F1F2E1DF826?sequence = 120. ThompsonF,CaltabianoML.TheHealth Belief Modelanddenguefeverpreventativebehaviours:A pilotprogramme[Internet].InternationalJournalof HealthPromotionandEducation; 2013.48:1-2010.Availablefrom:https://www. tandfonlinecom/doiabs / 10.1080 / 14635240. 2010. 10708175? journalCode=rhpe20
- [21] Robinson L. The voluntary adoption of new practices [Internet]. 2006. Avialble from: http:// enablingchange.com.au/Voluntary\_change.pdf.
- [22] Robinson L. Introduction to the 7 Doors model [Internet]. 2007. Avialble from: http:// enablingchange.com.au/7\_doors\_page.html.
- [23] Suwanbamrung C, Somrongthong R, Singchanchai P, Srigernyuang L. The development of a tool to assess community capacity of sustainable community-based dengue prevention and control: a study in Southern Thailand [Internet]. Thesis (Ph.D). 2008. Bangkok, Thailand: Chulalongkorn University. Avialble from: https://cuir.car.chula. ac.th/xmlui/handle/123456789/56075
- [24] Suwanbamrung C, Somrongthong R, Singchagchai P, Srigernyaung L. Application of a Dengue Community Capacity Assessment Tool (DCCAT) for sustainable community-based dengue prevention and control [Internet]. In: Boonmongkon P, Samakekarom R. Proceeding

of the 1st Annual International Graduate Research Conference on social sciences and humanities. Bangkok, Thailand; 2009, p, 204-213. Avialble from: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/ viewdoc/download? doi=10.1.1.454.7359& rep=rep1&type=pdf

- [25] Suwanbamrung C, Nukan N, Sripon S, Somrongthong R, Singchagchai P. Community capacity for sustainable community-based dengue prevention and control: Study of a Subdistrict in Southern Thailand [Internet]. Asian Pac J Trop Med ; 2010. 3(4): 1-5. Available from: https: // www.sciencedirect.com/ science/ article /pii/S1995764510600120
- [26] Suwanbamrung C, Tapalak N, Jitchun C, Promsuwan C, Prosupa S, Muenraj Y, Dumpan A. Student capacity building of dengue prevention and control: A study of an Islamic school, Southern Thailand [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://www.scirp.org/Journal/ PaperInformation.aspx?PaperID=21179.
- [27] Noar SM, Zimmerman RS. Health Behavior Theory and cumulative knowledge regarding health behaviors: are we moving in the right direction? [Internet]. Health education research, 2005. 20(3), 275–290. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1093/her/cyg113
- [28] Rozhan S, Jamsiah M, Rahimah A, Ang KT. The COMBI (communication for behavioural impact) program in the prevention and control of dengue– the Hulu Langat experience [Internet]. 2006. Available from: https://www.researchgate. net/publication/228451818\_The\_COMBI\_ communication\_for\_behavioural\_impact\_ program\_in\_the\_prevention\_and\_control\_of\_ dengue-the\_Hulu\_Langat\_experience
- [29] Wijesundara BS. Evaluation of the effectiveness of a behavioural intervention on dengue prevention for householders living in the Colombo Municipal Council area. 2009. Colombo: PGIM library University of Colombo: MD thesis, D:02168
- [30] Hsu C, Sandford B. The Delphi Technique: Making Sense of Consensus. Practical

Assessment, Research and Evaluation [Internet]. 2007. Available from: https:// scholarworks.umass.edu/cgi/viewcontent. cgi?article=1177&context=pare

- [31] Leontsini E, Gil E, Kendall C, Clark GG. Effect of a community-based Aedes aegypti control programme on mosquito larval production sites in El Progreso, Hondura s[Internet]. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg; 1993. 87:267. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ abs/pii/003592039390120F
- [32] Luna EJ, Chain I, Hernandez J, Clark GC, Bueno A, Escalante R, Angarita S, Martinez A. Social Mobilization Using Strategies of Education and Communication to Prevent Dengue Fever in Bucaramanga, Colombia [Internet].
  2004. WHO Regional Office for South-East-Asia. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/164011
- [33] WHO. Comprehensive Guideline for Prevention and Control of Dengue and Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever. Revised and expanded edition of WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia [Internet].
   2011. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/204894
- [34] Espino F, Marco J, Salazar NP, Salazar F, Mendoza Y, Velazco A. Community-based dengue vector control: experiences in behavior change in Metropolitan Manila, Philippines [Internet]. Pathogens and global health, 2012. 106(8), 455–461. Available from: https://doi.or g/10.1179/2047773212Y.000000061
- [35] Wai KT, Htun PT, Oo T, Myint H, Lin Z, Kroeger A, ... Petzold M. Community-centred eco-bio-social approach to control dengue vectors: an intervention study from Myanmar [Internet]. Pathogens and Global Health, 2012. 106(8), 461–468. Available from: http://doi.org/10.11 79/2047773212Y.0000000057
- [36] Tohit NFM, Hassan NA, Rus RM, Aidid EM. Solid waste: Its implication for health and risk

of vectors borne diseases [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/338356857\_SOLID\_WASTE\_ITS\_ IMPLICATION\_FOR\_HEALTH\_AND\_RISK\_OF\_ VECTOR\_BORNE\_DISEASES/citations

- [37] Alpana B, Haja A. Application of GIS in Modeling Dengue Risk Based on Sociocultural Data: Case of Jalore, Rajasthan, India.. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia [Internet].
  2001. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/163690
- [38] Azmawati MN, Aniza I, Ali M. Evaluation of Communication for Behavioral Impact (COMBI) Program in Dengue Prevention: A Qualitative and Quantitative Study in Selangor, Malaysia [Internet]. Iranian journal of public health, 2013. 42(5), 538–539. Available from: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3684465/
- [39] Vanlerberghe V, Toledo ME, Rodríguez M, Gomez D, Baly A, Benitez JR., Stuyft VD. Community involvement in dengue vector control: cluster randomised trial [Internet]. 2009. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1959
- [40] Castro-Rodriguez R, Galera-Gelvez K, López-Yescas JG, Rueda-Gallardo JA. Costs of dengue to the health system and individuals in Colombia from 2010 to 2012 [Internet]. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 92(4), 709–714. Available from: https://doi. org/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0386
- [41] Liyanage P, Rocklöv J, Tissera H, Palihawadana P, Wilder-Smith A, YesimTozan Y. Evaluation of intensified dengue control measures with interrupted time series analysis in the Panadura Medical Officer of Health division in Sri Lanka: a case study and cost-effectiveness analysis [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S2542519619300579

# Table 1: SWOT analysis for dengue prevention behaviours

| 1. | Strengths     | Guidelines, monitoring and technical support from the National level          |
|----|---------------|---|
|    |               | (National Dengue Control Unit-NDCU)   |
|    |               | Well established preventive sector with dedicated team for management         |
|    |               | House to house inspection by Dengue Field Assistants at field level           |
|    |               | School/Workplace dengue promotion activities                                  |
|    |               | Support from other stakeholders   |
|    |               | Availability of fogging facilities and case by case fogging activities        |
|    |               |   |
| 2. | Weaknesses    | Delays in notification  |
|    |               | Poor health seeking behaviours  |
|    |               | Gaps in law enforcement   |
|    |               | Lack of community participation   |
|    |               | Not considered as a priority area prior to monsoonal period (lack in          |
|    |               | preparedness)   |
|    |               | Poor attitudes of the community members                                       |
|    |               | Improper urbanization   |
|    |               | No proper monitoring and evaluation mechanism for dengue management           |
|    |               | activities  |
|    |               | Poor waste management and no established waste management system              |
|    |               | Lands without proper ownership  |
|    |               | Gaps in national policies and legislations related to vector control          |
|    |               | Inadequate financial allocation for dengue prevention activities              |
| 3. | Opportunities | Availability of Dengue Field Assistants at field level                        |
|    |               | Availability of fogging facilities  |
|    |               | Waste collection by local government authorities                              |
|    |               | Dengue committee meetings at all levels (divisional level, district level and |
|    |               | National level) with multi-stakeholder participation                          |
|    |               | National and regional level policies to prevent dengue                        |
|    |               | Availability of grants for research activities                                |
| 4. | Threats       | Political pressure against law enforcement                                    |
|    |               | Increase in natural disasters which deviate the attention from dengue         |
|    |               | prevention to the disaster response activities                                |
|    |               |   |

# Table 2: Activities to achieve targeted objectives to prevent dengue

|    | • To formulate a training manual of 'COMBI based community empowering for   |  |
|----|---|--|
|    | To formative a training manage of compression community empowering for  |  |
|    | sustainable dengue control' for field officers with the support of public health  |  |
|    | specialists.  |  |
|    | • To conduct consultative meetings and Key Informant Interviews (KII) with health and non-health stakeholders to assess the need for dengue prevention in the area. |  |
|    | • To discuss with the heads of healthcare institutions on how to prepare an action plan for dengue management for the healthcare institutions.                      |  |
| 2. | Public Advocacy   |  |
|    | To conduct advocacy programmes to motivate the officials of local government authority  |  |
|    | ("Pradeshiya Sabha") of the area, religious leaders, and the community leaders to get   |  |
|    | the support for waste management.   |  |
|    |   |  |
| 3. | Community Mobilization  |  |
|    | • To identify volunteer leaders for the sustainability of the dengue prevention.  |  |
|    | • To train the leader group to conduct Training of Trainers (TOT) programme.  |  |
| 4. | Personal Selling, Advertising and Promotion   |  |
|    | To select non-leader group and leader groups among the community.   |  |
|    | • To conduct training workshops for non-leader community participants with interactive  |  |
|    | discussion.   |  |
|    | • To brand the theme with the material.   |  |
| 5. | Point of service Promotion during follow up   |  |
|    | • To conduct weekly follow ups using a 'Weekly follow up observation record' in all three languages for four weeks.   |  |



Figure 1: Theme of the behavioural change intervention