



THE CEYLON MEDICAL JOURNAL

Established 1887

The Official Publication of the
Sri Lanka Medical Association
Volume 57, No. 1, March 2012
Quarterly ISSN 0009-0875

All communications
should be addressed to
The Editors, CMJ

Editors Emeritus

Chris G Uragoda MD, FRCP
Colvin Goonaratna FRCP, PhD

Editors

Janaka de Silva DPhil, FRCP
Anuruddha Abeygunasekera MS, FRCS

Assistant Editors

Vasanthi Arasaratnam MSc, PhD
D N Atukorala MD, FRCP
Varuni De Silva MBBS, MD
S A S Goonewardena MS, FRCS
Malik Goonewardene MS, FRCOG
Renuka Jayatissa MD, MSc
Neelika Malavige MRCP, DPhil
A Pathmeswaran MBBS, MD
B J C Perera MD, FRCPCH
Channa D Ranasinha DTM&H, FRCP
Udaya K Ranawaka MD, FRCP
Shalini Sri Ranganathan MD, PhD
D N Samarasekera MS, MD, FRCS
Manouri Senanayake MD, FRCP
Kamani H Tennekoon MBBS, PhD

International Advisory Board

Kamran Abbasi MBChB, MRCP
London, UK

Raja Bandaranayake FRACS, PhD
Sydney, Australia

Peush Sahni MS, PhD
New Delhi, India

R K Tandon MD, PhD
New Delhi, India

Zulfiqar Ahmed Bhutta FRCPCH, PhD
Karachi, Pakistan

Continued overleaf

Can we eliminate soil-transmitted helminth infections in Sri Lanka?

In January 2012, the WHO released a report entitled *Accelerating Work to Overcome the Global Impact of Neglected Tropical Diseases: a Roadmap for Implementation* [1]. At the launch of the report, Margaret Chan (WHO Director General), Bill Gates, 13 pharmaceutical company chief executive officers, and officials from several governments pledged to eradicate or control by 2020, ten neglected tropical diseases, which together afflict more than a billion people [2]. This new thrust aims to eradicate Guinea worm; to eliminate lymphatic filariasis (LF), blinding trachoma, sleeping sickness and leprosy as public health problems; and to control soil-transmitted helminths (STH), schistosomiasis, river blindness, Chagas disease and visceral leishmaniasis. Of these ten diseases only three, leprosy, LF and STH infections, have posed significant public health problems for Sri Lanka. Leprosy was eliminated as a public health problem here by the end of the 20th century [3]. The last decade also saw the Health Ministry conduct a LF elimination programme based on annual mass drug administration (MDA) with diethylcarbamazine citrate and albendazole in eight LF-endemic districts. In 2006, Sri Lanka completed six rounds of treatment and started post-MDA surveillance [4]; the country is now working towards certification of LF elimination. This leaves only STH infections to combat.

STH infections (roundworm, whipworm and the hookworms) are estimated to affect over a billion people worldwide, mostly living in areas where sanitation is poor [5]. Occasionally, they can be fatal, especially when the worm burden is high. More often, STH infections have a chronic, insidious impact on the health and quality of life of those affected [6]. Heavy intensity infections impair physical growth and cognitive development, and cause iron-deficiency anaemia which leads to poor school performance and absenteeism in children; reduce work productivity in adults; and affect the course and outcome of pregnancy [7]. Strategies to control STH infections have evolved gradually. The first major historical effort to control worm infections was the Rockefeller Foundation's hookworm eradication campaign in the early 20th century. This campaign was primarily aimed at Southern USA, but the ripples reached Sri Lanka. The Director General of the International Health Board of the Foundation visited the country in 1914 in the course of a survey of the Orient, and discussed plans for bringing hookworm under control [8]. In the latter half of the 20th century, Japan and South Korea demonstrated that prevalence could be brought down from over 90% to near zero through regular mass deworming combined with health education and widespread improvements in sanitation [6].

In Sri Lanka, a strong tradition of preventive healthcare led to adoption of deworming. Anthelmintics have been offered on a routine basis to school children at annual school medical inspections for many decades. In 1994, the

Samiran Nundy FRCS, FRCP
New Delhi, India

N Medappa MD
New Delhi, India

Jane Smith BA, MSc
London, UK

Anita KM Zaidi MMBS, SM
Karachi, Pakistan

David Warrell MD, FRCP
Oxford, UK

**Advisory Board for
Statistics and Epidemiology**

Lalini Rajapakse MD, MSc
Kumudu Wijewardene MBBS, MD
A Pathmeswaran MBBS, MD

Published by

The Sri Lanka Medical
Association
Wijerama House
6, Wijerama Mawatha
Colombo 7
Sri Lanka

Tel: +94 11 2693324

Fax: +94 11 2698802

Internet home page

<http://www.sljol.info/index.php/CMJ/index>

e-mail: office@cmj.slma.lk

Printed by

Ananda Press
82/5, Sir Ratnajothi Saravanamuttu
Mawatha, Colombo 13
Sri Lanka

Tel: +94 11 2435975

Fax: +94 11 2385039

e-mail: anpress@sltnet.lk

For advertising

Please contact:

Mr. Anthony

Saatchi & Saatchi

79, C W W Kannangara Mawatha
Colombo 7

Tel: +94 11 2671026

+94 772514858

e-mail: anthony_sinniha@saatchisl.com

© The Ceylon
Medical Journal

***This journal is indexed by BIOSIS,
Elsevier SCOPUS, EMBASE, CABI, and
Index Medicus/Medline***

Health Ministry recommended that pregnant women be treated routinely with mebendazole, in order to reduce maternal anaemia [9]. This strategy made it possible to conduct a ground-breaking study that confirmed the safety of mebendazole in pregnancy [10], and enabled the WHO to recommend a similar policy in other hookworm endemic countries [11].

Morbidity due to STH infections becomes prominent in a given community only when many individuals have moderate or high worm burdens; this usually occurs when prevalence is high. The WHO currently recommends periodic administration of anthelmintics to high-risk populations (preventive chemotherapy) as the preferred strategy for control of morbidity due to STH infections, i.e. elimination of moderate or high intensity infections [12]. Unlike with LF, WHO does not promote the elimination of STH infections as a goal. There are several reasons for this. Firstly, breaking the cycle of transmission requires an effective and efficient infrastructure for maintenance of sanitation; the resources required for such infrastructure are rarely available in endemic countries. Secondly, although the anthelmintics used for preventive chemotherapy are very inexpensive and safe, deworming needs to be repeated periodically for many years because roundworm and whipworm eggs can survive in soil for long periods. Finally, preventive chemotherapy on its own can achieve the elimination of moderate and high intensity infections that cause almost all morbidity [12]. However, Sri Lanka is no longer an impoverished, low-income country, and elimination of STH infections, rather than limiting control strategies to eliminating only moderate or high intensity infections, should now be feasible.

The WHO also recommends that STH control strategies should be integrated within existing public health activities in order to reduce costs and increase effectiveness. It is widely accepted now that the school system offers an ideal setting for deworming and delivery of health care messages [6]. Vaccination and micronutrient supplementation programmes (e.g. vitamin A) present convenient opportunities for the deworming of pre-school children [12]. The WHO provides detailed guidelines for introduction and maintenance of deworming programmes [11]. In high-risk communities where the prevalence of any STH infection among school-aged children is more than 50%, it recommends mass treatment with albendazole 400 mg or mebendazole 500 mg at least twice a year. In moderate-risk communities where prevalence is 20 - 50%, once-yearly treatment is recommended. For those communities classified as low-risk because prevalence is below 20%, selective treatment of individuals diagnosed with infections is recommended. These thresholds are meant for communities with no prior use of preventive chemotherapy [11]. Unfortunately, there is little scientific evidence that can guide countries such as Sri Lanka, where regular deworming has been part of health care delivery for many decades, on when to reduce the frequency of preventive chemotherapy, or when it can be abandoned altogether. As with the case of antenatal deworming [10], Sri Lanka may have to play the role of a pathfinder rather than simply following international guidelines.

What is the current situation with regard to STH infections in Sri Lanka? A nationally representative survey was conducted among 2173 school children attending 144 schools in 2003 [13]. The findings showed an overall STH prevalence of 6.9%; prevalence ranged from 1.6% in Southern Province to 12.3% in Eastern Province. The investigators noted that these rates had to be interpreted with caution because children in some schools had received anthelmintics a few days before sample collection and nearly 9% were reported to have passed worms at some point in their lives. Other recent studies have also confirmed low prevalence rates in areas which do not have problems with sanitation [14]. Since per capita income has risen, and living conditions all over the country have steadily improved over the last decade, it is likely that national prevalence rates have further declined since 2003. The situation

is different in the plantation sector; a survey conducted in 2009 among 1890 school children selected from 114 schools in Nuwara Eliya, Ratnapura, Kandy, Badulla and Kegalle districts, showed the overall prevalence of combined STH infections to be 29% [15]. Prevalence ranged from 12.5% in Badulla to 38.2% in Nuwara Eliya; the commonest infection was roundworm. Hookworm infections were not detected in Nuwara Eliya and Badulla districts. But even here, the rates are a sharp contrast to those reported from a sector-wide survey conducted in 1992, when the prevalence was nearly 90% among children and women of reproductive age [16]. As a consequence of the 1992 survey, a mass deworming programme with twice yearly mebendazole 500 mg was introduced in 1994. In areas where hookworm infections were exceptionally common, albendazole was used instead of mebendazole, because of its greater efficacy against hookworm [17]. The programme was continued until 2005 when it was abandoned due to lack of funds.

Sri Lanka has a conducive environment for elimination of STH infection. Extensive public health infrastructure and high literacy rates, which are results of free health care and free education policies, mean that the target population has a high level of contact with the health system. Anthelmintics are administered to school children through school medical inspections, to under-fives through child welfare clinics, and to pregnant women through antenatal clinics. Together, these have a very wide reach because school enrolment is about 98% for Grades 1-5 and about 70% for Grades 6-12 [18]; >90% of pregnant mothers attend antenatal clinics regularly; and >80% of under-fives are brought to child welfare clinics (data from Evaluation Unit of the Family Health Bureau).

Over the course of 2011, the Family Health Bureau has worked on developing new guidelines for the control of STH infections among children and women in the community setting. These include recommendations for deworming children below five years of age, school children and pregnant women in the districts that encompass the plantation sector (high risk areas; the same groups in other districts which have a lower level of risk) and additional measures that promote STH control. The recommendations are to be implemented from 2013 after procurement of adequate stocks of anthelmintics. What is lacking is a strategic plan that identifies an explicit national target based on sound district-level prevalence data; target prevalence rates to be achieved by a given date; target coverage rates for deworming activities and sanitation coverage in high risk areas, etc. A high level inter-sectoral task force should be given the mandate to draw up such a plan, set out appropriate goals along with mechanisms for monitoring and evaluation of programme implementation. If that were to happen, it is very likely that, within this decade, Sri Lanka will be able to add STH infections to leprosy and LF in the list of neglected tropical diseases that have been eliminated as public health problems.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Accelerating Work to Overcome the Global Impact of Neglected Tropical Diseases – a Roadmap for Implementation*. Geneva: WHO, 2012.
2. http://www.unitingtocombatntds.org/downloads/press/ntd_event_press_release.pdf accessed on 7 February 2012.
3. Narain JP, Dash AP, Parnell B, *et al.* Elimination of neglected tropical diseases in the South East Asia Region of the World Health Organization. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010; **88**: 206-10.
4. World Health Organization. Halfway towards eliminating lymphatic filariasis: Progress Report 2000-2009 and Strategic Plan 2010-2020 of the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis. Geneva: WHO, 2011 (WHO/HTM/NTD/PCT/2010.6)
5. de Silva NR, Brooker S, Hotez PJ, *et al.* Soil-transmitted helminth infections: updating the global picture. *Trends in Parasitology* 2003; **19**: 547-51.
6. Hotez PJ, Bundy DAP, Beegle K, *et al.* Helminth infections: soil-transmitted helminth infections and schistosomiasis. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, *et al.* (editors). *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries*. New York: Oxford University Press and World Bank, 2006. pp 467-82.
7. Hall A, Hewitt G, Tuffrey V, de Silva NR. A review and meta-analysis of the impact of intestinal worms on child growth and nutrition. *Maternal and Child Nutrition* 2008; **4** (Suppl 1): 118-236.
8. Chellappah SF. Public health aspects of ancylostomiasis. *Journal of the Ceylon Branch of the British Medical Association* 1938; **35**: 419-45.
9. A national strategy and broad plan of action for the prevention and control of anaemia in pregnancy. Colombo: Ministry of Health and Women's Affairs, June 1994.
10. de Silva NR, Sirisena JLGJ, Gunasekera DPS, *et al.* Effect of mebendazole therapy during pregnancy on birth outcome. *Lancet* 1999; **353**: 1145-49.
11. World Health Organization. Preventive chemotherapy in human helminthiasis: coordinated use of anthelmintic drugs in control interventions – a manual for health professionals and programme managers. Geneva: WHO, 2006.
12. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminthiasis. Eliminating soil-transmitted helminthiasis as a public health problem in children: Progress Report 2001-2010 and Strategic Plan 2011-2020. Geneva: WHO (in press).
13. Pathmeswaran A, Jayatissa R, Samarasinghe S, *et al.* Health status of primary school children in Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Medical Journal* 2005; **50**: 46-50.
14. de Silva NR. Soil-transmitted helminth infections in Sri Lanka. In: Arizono N, Chai J-Y, Nawa Y, Takahashi Y (editors) *Food-borne helminthiasis in Asia*. Asian Parasitology series, Vol 1. Chiba: Federation of Asian Parasitologists, 2005; 289-94.
15. Gunawardena N, Kumarendran B, Ebenezer R, *et al.* Soil-transmitted helminth infections among plantation-sector school children in Sri Lanka: prevalence after ten years of

- preventive chemotherapy. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2011; **5**: e1591.
16. Sorensen E, Ismail M, Amarasinghe DKC, *et al.* The prevalence and control of soil-transmitted nematode infections among children and women in the plantations in Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Medical Journal* 1996; **41**: 37-41.
 17. Ismail MM, Naotunne T, Hettiarachchi I. Effectiveness of a bi-annual deworming programme in the plantations in Sri Lanka: an evaluation after 4 years. In: Hayashi S (editor) *Collected Papers on the Control of Soil-Transmitted Helminthiases, Vol VII*. Tokyo: Asian Parasite Control Organization, 2001; 185-94.
 18. Department of Census and Statistics (DCS) and Ministry of Health care and Nutrition (MOH). *Sri Lanka Demographic and Health Survey 2006-07*. Colombo, Sri Lanka: DCS and MOH, 2009.

N de Silva, *Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka*, and **H Jayawickrama**, *Family Health Bureau, Ministry of Health, Colombo, Sri Lanka*.

Correspondence: NdeS, e-mail <nrdesilva@gmail.com>. *Competing interests*: none.